

**PRESERVING PROPERTIES AND ESTIMATION OF THE
COEFFICIENTS FOR FUNCTIONS THAT BELONG TO THE
SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS**

P. DICU, R. DIACONU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give preserving properties and estimation of the coefficients for functions that belong to the subclass of analytic functions $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Alexander integral operator, Bernardi integral operator, $I_{c+\delta}$ integral operator, L_a operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form:

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0).$$

Definition 1.1. [5] Let I_A be a Alexander integral operator defined as:

$$I_A : A \rightarrow A, \quad I_A(F) = f, \quad \text{where}$$

$$(1.3) \quad f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{F(t)}{t} dt.$$

Definition 1.2. [1] Let I_a be a Bernardi integral operator defined as:

$$I_a : A \rightarrow A, I_a(F) = f, a = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{ where}$$

$$(1.4) \quad f(z) = \frac{a+1}{z^a} \int_0^z F(t) \cdot t^{a-1} dt.$$

Definition 1.3. [1] Let L_a be a generalization of the previously integral operator defined as:

$$L_a : A \rightarrow A, L_a(F) = f, a \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} a \geq 0, \text{ where}$$

$$(1.5) \quad f(z) = \frac{a+1}{z^a} \int_0^z F(t) \cdot t^{a-1} dt.$$

Definition 1.4. [5] Let $I_{c+\delta}$ be the integral operator defined as: $I_{c+\delta} : A \rightarrow A$, $0 < u \leq 1$, $1 \leq \delta < \infty$, $0 < c < \infty$,

$$(1.6) \quad f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F)(z) = (c + \delta) \int_0^1 u^{c+\delta-2} F(uz) du.$$

Remark 1.1. [5] For $\delta = 1$ and $c=1,2,\dots$, from the integral operator $I_{c+\delta}$ we obtain the Bernardi integral operator defined by (1.4).

Definition 1.5. [5] Let $F \in A$, $F(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + \dots + b_n z^n + \dots$, and $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$. We define the integral operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$(1.7) \quad f(z) = L(F)(z) = \frac{1+a}{z^a} \int_0^z F(t) (t^{a-1} + t^{a+1}) dt.$$

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. [3] For $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we let $S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of S consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and functions $g(z)$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0),$$

and satisfying the analytic criterion:

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \\ > \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

Further, we define the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ by

$$TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) \cap T.$$

Lemma 2.2. [3] A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. [3] A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) to be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ is that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Corollary 2.1. [3] Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.2) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(2.5) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. The Alexander integral operator defined by (1.3) preserves the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, that is: If $F \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, then $f(z) = I_A F(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, for $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $F \in T$, $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$. Then

$$f(z) = I_A F(z) = \int_0^z \frac{F(t)}{t} dt =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(t - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right) dt = \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} z^k \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \text{ with}
 \end{aligned}$$

$c_k = \frac{a_k}{k} \geq 0$, $k \geq 2$. It follows that $f \in T$. We have now to prove that $f \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Using Lemma 2.3 we need to prove that:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] c_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

for $k \geq 2$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. This means:

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] \frac{a_k}{k} b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

But we have $\frac{a_k}{k} \leq a_k$, for $k \geq 2$, and by using (2.4) and (3.2), we observe that inequality (3.1) is fulfilled. This means that $f \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.2. *The integral operator $I_{c+\delta}$ defined by (1.6) preserves the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, that is: If $F \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, then $f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F)(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, for $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $F \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$.

We have, from Lemma 2.3:

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

From (1.6) we obtain $f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{c + \delta}{c + k + \delta - 1} a_k z^k$, where $0 < c < \infty$, $1 \leq \delta < \infty$.

We also remark that for $0 < c < \infty$, $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \delta < \infty$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad 0 < \frac{c + \delta}{c + k + \delta - 1} < 1$$

Thus $f \in T$ and by using Lemma 2.3 we have only to prove that.

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] \frac{c + \delta}{c + k + \delta - 1} a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 < c < \infty$ and $1 \leq \delta < \infty$.

By using the relation (3.4) we have

$$\frac{c + \delta}{c + k + \delta - 1} \cdot a_k < a_k,$$

for $0 < c < \infty$, $k \geq 2$, $1 \leq \delta < \infty$, and thus from (3.3) we conclude that the condition (3.5) take place and thus the proof it is complete.

The following theorem is proved similarly (see Remark 1.1):

Corollary 3.1. *The Bernardi integral operator defined by (1.4) preserves the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, that is: If $F \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, then $f(z) = I_a F(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, for $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ with $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, $b_k \geq 0$. For $f(z) = L_a(F)(z)$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in U$, where the integral operator L_a it is defined by (1.5), we have:*

$$a_k \leq \left| \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + k} \right|, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Proof. For $f = L_a(F)(z)$ with $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ and $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ we have

$$a_k = b_k \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + k},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} a \geq 0$, $k \geq 2$.

The coefficient bounds for the functions belonging to the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ are

$$b_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

For $k \geq 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= |b_k| \cdot \left| \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1-\alpha}{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(k-1)] b_k} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{1-\alpha}{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(k-1)] b_k} \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.4. Let $F \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ with $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, $b_k \geq 0$. For $f(z) = L(F)(z)$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in U$, where the integral operator L it is defined by (1.7), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2} \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+2}, \\ a_3 &\leq \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{(3-\alpha+2\beta)(1+2\gamma)b_3} + 1 \right] \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+3}, \\ a_k &\leq \frac{(1-\alpha)(a+1)}{a+k} \cdot (r_k + r_{k-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= \frac{1}{[(k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(k-1)] b_k} \\ r_{k-2} &= \frac{1}{[(k-2)(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(k-3)] b_{k-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $f = L(F)(z)$ with $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ and $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= b_2 \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+2}, \\ a_3 &= (b_3 + 1) \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+3}, \\ a_k &= (b_k + b_{k-2}) \cdot \frac{a+1}{a+k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $k \geq 4$.

The coefficient bounds for the functions belonging to the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ are :

$$b_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

For $k \geq 4$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= (b_k + b_{k-2}) \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + k} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[(k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k]} \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + k} + \\ &+ \frac{1 - \alpha}{[(k - 2)(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 3)] b_{k-2}} \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + k}. \\ a_k &\leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)(a + 1)}{a + k} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{[(k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k]} + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{[(k - 2)(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 3)] b_{k-2}} \right] = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(a + 1)}{a + k} \cdot (r_k + r_{k-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= \frac{1}{[(k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k]} \\ r_{k-2} &= \frac{1}{[(k - 2)(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 3)] b_{k-2}} \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= b_2 \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + 2} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma) b_2} \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $k = 3$ we have:

$$a_3 \leq \left[\frac{1 - \alpha}{(3 - \alpha + 2\beta)(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} + 1 \right] \cdot \frac{a + 1}{a + 3}.$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

We remark that for suitable values of the functions f and g and the parameters α and β we obtain similarly results for the following subclasses:

$$\text{i) } TS_0 \left(f, \frac{z}{(1 - z)}; \alpha, 1 \right) = S_p T(\alpha) \text{ and } TS_0 \left(f, \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}; \alpha, 1 \right) =$$

- $= TS_1 \left(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1 \right) = UCT(\alpha) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1)$ (see Bharati et al. [4]);
- ii) $TS_1 \left(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; 0, \beta \right) = UCT(\beta) \quad (\beta \geq 0)$ (see Subramanian et al. [12]);
- iii) $TS_0 \left(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta \right) = TS(\alpha, \beta) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$ (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [6] and [7]);
- iv) $TS_0 \left(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta \right) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, N = \{1, 2, \dots\})$ (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [10]);
- v) $TS_0 \left(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} z^k; \alpha, \beta \right) = D(\alpha, \beta, \lambda) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda > -1)$ (see Shams et al. [11]);
- vi) $TS_0 \left(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n z^k; \alpha, \beta \right) = TS_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0), n \in N_0$ (see Aouf and Mostafa [2]);
- vii) $TS_{\gamma} \left(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta \right) = TS(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$ (see Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [8]);
- viii) $TS_0(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = H_T(g, \alpha, \beta) \quad (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0)$ (see Raina and Bansal [9]);

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Acu, *Liberalization Pascu integrated operator and its properties on the functions of uniform star-shaped, convex, convex and alpha almost uniformly convex*, ULBS, Faculty of Science Collection, Mathematics Series, Sibiu 2005.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, R. and A.O.Mostafa, *Some properties of a subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients*, Demonstratio Math., 2(2008), 353-370.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, *Integral means for certain subclasses of uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by convolution*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, No 20/2009, pp. 31-42.
- [4] R.Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, *On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions*, Tamakang J. Math. 28(1997), 17-32.
- [5] R. Diaconu, *Special classes of analytic functions and their transformations by means of integral operators*, Thesis, Pitești 2014.

[6] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *A new subclass of uniformly convex functions and corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(2004), no. 4, Art. 85, 1-10 .

[7] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Linear operators associated with a subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 3(2006), no. 2, 113-125 .

[8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Rosy and K. Muthunagai, *Carlson- Shaffer operator and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex function*, General Math. 15(2007), no. 4, 131-143.

[9] R. K. Raina and D. Bansal, *Some properties of a new class of analytic functions defined in terms of a Hadamard product*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 9(2008), no. 1, Art. 22, 1-9.

[10] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 115(2004), no. 2, 231-242.

[11] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri , *Classes of Ruscheweyh-type analytic univalent functions*, Southwest J. Pure Appl. Math., 2(2003), 105-110.

[12] K. G. Subramanian, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, P. Balasubrahma and H. Silverman, *Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions*, Math. Japon. 42(1995), no. 3, 517-522.

Petrică Dicu

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu,

Sibiu, 550012, România

email: *petrica.dicu@ulbsibiu.ro*

Radu Diaconu

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

”Alma Mater” University of Sibiu,

Sibiu, 550003, România

email: *radudyaconu@yahoo.com*