Acta Universitatis Apulensis
ISSN: 1582-5329
http://www.uab.ro/auajournal/

No. 43/2014
pp. 199-208
doi: 10.17114/j.aua.2015.43.16

SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR A GENERAL SUBCLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE

Ş. Altinkaya, S. Yalçin

Abstract. The Ruscheweyh derivative has been applied in this paper to investigate a general subclass of the function class Σ of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disc. Moreover, making use of the Hankel determinant, we optain upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ of this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50

Keywords: Analytic and univalent functions, Bi-univalent functions, Hankel determinant, Ruscheweyh derivative.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions f which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \tag{1}$$

Let S be the subclass of A consisting of the form (1) which are also univalent in U.

The Koebe one-quarter theorem [10] states that the image of U under every function f from S contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus every such univalent function has an inverse f^{-1} which satisfies

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ , \ \ (z \in U)$$

and

$$f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right) = w \ , \ \ \left(|w| < r_0(f) \ , \ r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w \ - a_2 w^2 + \left(2a_2^2 - a_3\right) w^3 - \left(5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4\right) w^4 + \cdots .$$

A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in U if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in U.

For a brief history and interesting examples in the class Σ, see [26]. Examples of functions in the class Σ are

$$\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad -\log(1-z), \quad \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$$

and so on. However, the familier Koebe function is not a member of Σ. Other common examples of functions in S such as

$$z - \frac{z^2}{2} \text{ and } \frac{z}{1-z^2}$$

are also not members of Σ (see [26]).

Lewin [16] studied the class of bi-univalent functions, obtaining the bound 1.51 for modulus of the second coefficient $|a_2|$. Netanyahu [18] showed that $max\,|a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$ if $f(z) \in \Sigma$. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [6] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \Sigma$. Brannan and Taha [7] introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ similar to the familiar subclasses. $S^\star(\beta)$ and $K(\beta)$ of starlike and convex function of order β $(0 \leq \beta < 1)$ respectively (see [18]). By definition, we have

$$S^\star(\beta) = \left\{ f \in S : Re\ \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1,\ z \in U \right\}$$

and

$$K(\beta) = \left\{ f \in S : Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1,\ z \in U \right\}.$$

The classes $S_\Sigma^\star(\beta)$ and $K_\Sigma(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order α and bi-convex functions of order β, corresponding to the function classes $S^\star(\beta)$ and $K(\beta)$, were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes $S_\Sigma^\star(\beta)$ and $K_\Sigma(\beta)$, they found non-sharp estimates on the initial coefficients. Recently, many authors investigated bounds for various subclasses of bi-univalent functions ([2], [**?**], [12], [17], [24], [26], [27], [28]). Not much is known about the bounds on the general coefficient $|a_n|$ for $n \geq 4$. In the literature, the only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds $|a_n|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions ([3], [8], [14], [15]). The coefficient estimate problem for each of $|a_n|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,2\}$; $\mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,...\}$) is still an open problem.

The Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|a_3 - \mu a_2^2\right|$ for normalized univalent functions

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

is well known for its rich history in the theory of geometric functions. Its origin was in the disproof by Fekete and Szegö of the 1933 conjecture of Littlewood and Paley that the coefficients of odd univalent functions are bounded by unity (see [11]). The functional has since received great attention, particularly in many subclasses of the family of univalent functions. Nowadays, it seems that this topic had become an interest among the researchers (see, for example, [5], [21], [29]).

The q^{th} Hankel determinant for $n \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ is stated by Noonan and Thomas ([19]) as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \qquad (a_1 = 1).$$

This determinant has also been considered by several authors. For example, Noor ([20]) determined the rate of growth of $H_q(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ for functions f given by (1) with bounded boundary. In particular, sharp upper bounds on $H_2(2)$ were obtained by the authors of articles ([20], [22]) for different classes of functions.

It is interesting to note that

$$H_2(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = a_3 - a_2^2$$

and

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2.$$

The Hankel determinant $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ is well-known as Fekete-Szegö functional. Very recently, the upper bounds of $H_2(2)$ for some classes were discussed by Deniz et al. [9].

The object of the present paper is to introduce a general subclass of the function class Σ applying the Ruscheweyh derivative, where Ruscheweyh [25] observed that

$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z\left[z^{n-1} f(z)\right]^{(n)}}{n!} \tag{2}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$.This symbol $D^n f(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is called by Al- Amiri [1], the n^{th} order Ruscheweyh derivative of $f(z)$.

We note that $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$, $D^1 f(z) = zf'(z)$ and

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(n,k) a_k z^k, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Gamma(n,k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. *A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be $T_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(n,\beta)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$Re\left((1-\lambda)\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} + \lambda \left[D^n f(z)\right]'\right) > \beta; \quad 0 \le \beta < 1, \quad \lambda \ge 1, \quad z \in U$$

and

$$Re\left((1-\lambda)\frac{D^n g(w)}{w} + \lambda \left[D^n g(w)\right]'\right) > \beta; \quad 0 \le \beta < 1, \quad \lambda \ge 1, \quad w \in U$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

In order to derive our main results, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *[23] If $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \cdots$ is an analytic function in U with positive real part, then*

$$|p_n| \le 2 \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\})$$

and

$$\left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| \le 2 - \frac{|p_2|^2}{2}.$$

Lemma 2. *[13] If the function $p \in P$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_2 &= p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2) \\ 4p_3 &= p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1 x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for some x, z with $|x| \le 1$ and $|z| \le 1$.

2. Main results

Theorem 3. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $T_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(n,\beta)$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then*

$$\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| \leq \begin{cases} \left[\frac{2(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3} + \frac{3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}\right]\frac{8(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)}, \\ \qquad \beta \in \left[0, 1-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}}\right] \\ \frac{81(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta)^2}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)[3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3-(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)(1-\beta)^2]}, \\ \qquad \beta \in \left[1-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}}, 1\right) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in T_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(h,\beta)$. Then

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{D^nf(z)}{z} + \lambda\left[D^nf(z)\right]' = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \tag{6}$$

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{D^ng(w)}{w} + \lambda\left[D^ng(w)\right]' = \beta + (1-\beta)q(w) \tag{7}$$

where $p, q \in P$.

It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$(n+1)(1+\lambda)a_2 = (1-\beta)p_1, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}(1+2\lambda)a_3 = (1-\beta)p_2, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}(1+3\lambda)a_4 = (1-\beta)p_3 \tag{10}$$

$$-(1+\lambda)a_2 = (1-\beta)q_1, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}(1+2\lambda)\left(2a_2^2 - a_3\right) = (1-\beta)q_2 \tag{12}$$

$$-\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}(1+3\lambda)\left(5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4\right) = (1-\beta)q_3. \tag{13}$$

From (8) and (11) we obtain

$$p_1 = -q_1. \tag{14}$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{(1-\beta)}{(n+1)(1+\lambda)}p_1. \tag{15}$$

Subtracting (9) from (12), we have

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^2}p_1^2 + \frac{(1-\beta)}{(n+1)(n+2)(1+2\lambda)}(p_2 - q_2).$$

Also, subtracting (10) from (13), we have

$$a_4 = \frac{5(1-\beta)^2}{2(n+1)^2(n+2)(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}p_1(p_2-q_2) + \frac{3(1-\beta)}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}(p_3-q_3).$$

Then, we can establish that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| = \Big| &-\frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(n+1)^4(1+\lambda)^4}p_1^4 + \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{2(n+1)^3(n+2)(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)}p_1^2(p_2-q_2) \\ &+\frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p_1(p_3-q_3) - \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2(1+2\lambda)^2}(p_2-q_2)^2\Big|\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

According to Lemma 2 and (14), we write

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} 2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4-p_1^2) \\ 2q_2 = q_1^2 + x(4-q_1^2)\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow p_2 = q_2 \tag{17}$$

and

$$p_3 - q_3 = \frac{p_1^3}{2} - p_1(4-p_1^2)x - \frac{p_1}{2}(4-p_1^2)x^2. \tag{18}$$

Then, using (17) and (18), in (16),

$$\begin{aligned}\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| = \Big| &-\frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(n+1)^4(1+\lambda)^4}p_1^4 + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{2(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p_1^4 \\ &-\frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p_1^2(4-p_1^2)x - \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{2(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p_1^2(4-p_1^2)x^2\Big|.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Since $p \in P$, so $|p_1| \leq 2$. Letting $|p_1| = p$, we may assume without restriction that $p \in [0,2]$. Then, applying the triangle inequality on (19), with $\mu = |x| \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| \leq &\frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(n+1)^4(1+\lambda)^4}p^4 + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{2(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^4 \\ &+\frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^2(4-p^2)\mu + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{2(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^2(4-p^2)\mu^2 = F(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating $F(\mu)$, we obtain

$$F'(\mu) = \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^2(4-p^2) + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^2(4-p^2)\mu.$$

Furthermore, for $F'(\mu) > 0$ and $\mu > 0$, F is an increasing function and thus, the upper bound for $F(\mu)$ corresponds to $\mu = 1$;

$$F(\mu) \le \frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(n+1)^4(1+\lambda)^4}p^4 - \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^4 + \frac{18(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p^2 = G(p).$$

Assume that $G(p)$ has a maximum value in an interior of $p \in [0,2]$, then

$$G'(p) = \left[\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3} - \frac{3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}\right]\frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)}p^3 + \frac{36(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)}p.$$

Then,

$$G'(p) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p_{01} = 0 \\ p_{02} = \sqrt{\frac{9(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3}{3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3-(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)(1-\beta)^2}} \end{cases}.$$

Case 1. When $\beta \in \left[0, 1-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}}\right]$, we observe that $p_{02} > 2$ and G is an increasing function in the interval $[0,2]$, so the maximum value of $G(p)$ occurs at $p = 2$. Thus, we have

$$G(2) = \left[\frac{2(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3} + \frac{3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}\right]\frac{8(1-\beta)^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)}.$$

Case 2. When $\beta \in \left[1-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)}}, 1\right)$, we observe that $p_{02} < 2$ and since $G''(p_{02}) < 0$, the maximum value of $G(p)$ occurs at $p = p_{02}$. Thus, we have

$$G(p_{02}) = \frac{81(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta)^2}{(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)[3(n+1)^2(1+\lambda)^3-(n+2)(n+3)(1+3\lambda)(1-\beta)^2]}.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 1. *Putting $\lambda = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 3 we have the second Hankel determinant for the well-known class $T_\Sigma^\lambda(n,\beta) = H_\Sigma(\beta)$ as in [9].*

Corollary 4. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $H_\Sigma(\beta)$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$. Then*

$$\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2}\left[2(1-\beta)^2+1\right] & \beta \in \left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right] \\ \frac{9(1-\beta)^2}{16\left[1-(1-\beta)^2\right]} & \beta \in \left[\frac{1}{2},1\right) \end{cases}.$$

Remark 2. *Putting $n = 0$ in Theorem 3 we have the second Hankel determinant for the well-known class $T_\Sigma^\lambda(n,\beta) = N_\Sigma^{1,\lambda}(\beta)$ as in [9].*

Corollary 5. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $N_{\Sigma}^{1,\lambda}(\beta)$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then*

$$\left|a_2a_4 - a_3^2\right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)}\left[\frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)^3} + \frac{1}{1+3\lambda}\right] & \beta \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(1+\lambda)^3}{2(1+3\lambda)}}\right] \\ \frac{9(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+3\lambda)[(1+\lambda)^3 - 2(1+3\lambda)(1-\beta)^2]} & \beta \in \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(1+\lambda)^3}{2(1+3\lambda)}}, 1\right) \end{cases}.$$

References

[1] H. S. Al-Amiri, *On Ruscheweh derivatives, Ann. Poln. Math.*, 38 (1980) 87-94.

[2] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, *Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions*, International Journal of Analysis, Article ID 867871, (2014), 4 pp.

[3] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, *Coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions*, TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, in press.

[4] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Fekete-Szegö inequalities for certain classes of bi-univalent functions*, International Scholarly Research Notices, Article ID 327962, (2014) 6 pp.

[5] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, *Coefficient Estimates for Two New Subclasses of Bi-univalent Functions with respect to Symmetric Points*, Journal of Function Spaces, Article ID 145242, (2015) 5 pp.

[6] D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of comtemporary complex analysis*, (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Instute Held at University of Durham:July 1-20, 1979). New York: Academic Press, (1980).

[7] D. A. Brannan, T. S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai. Mathematica, 31, 2, (1986), 70-77.

[8] S. Bulut, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 352, 6, (2014) 479-484.

[9] E. Deniz, M. Çağlar and H. Orhan, *Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order β*, arXiv:1501.01682v1.

[10] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, USA, 259, (1983).

[11] M. Fekete and G. Sezegö, *Eine Bemerkung Über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 2 (1933). 85-89.

[12] B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 24, (2011), 1569-1573.

[13] U. Grenander and G. Szegö, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, (1958).

[14] S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.I 352, 1, (2014), 17-20.

[15] J. M. Jahangiri, S. G. Hamidi, *Coefficient estimates for certain classes of bi-univalent functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., ArticleID 190560, (2013), 4 pp.

[16] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society, 18, (1967), 63-68.

[17] N. Magesh, J. Yamini, *Coefficient bounds for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions*, International Mathematical Forum, 8, 27, (2013), 1337-1344.

[18] E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the orijin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in* $|z| < 1$, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 32, (1969), 100-112.

[19] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent functions*, Transactions of the Americal Mathematical Society 223 (2) (1976) 337–346.

[20] K. I. Noor, *Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Et Appl., 28(c) (1983) 731–739.

[21] H. Orhan, N. Magesh and V. K. Balaji, *Fekete-Szegö problem for certain classes of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.0895.

[22] T. Hayami and S. Owa, *Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 4 (52) (2010) 2473–2585.

[23] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

[24] S. Porwal, M. Darus, *On a new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 21, 3, (2013), 190-193.

[25] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975) 109-115.

[26] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 23, 10, (2010), 1188-1192.

[27] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar, N. Yağmur, *Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, 27, 5, (2013), 831-842.

[28] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui, H. M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 25 (2012), 990-994.

[29] P. Zaprawa, *On Fekete-Szegö problem for classes of bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 21 (2014) 169-178.

Şahsene Altınkaya
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science,
University of Uludag,
Bursa, Turkey
email: *sahsene@uludag.edu.tr*

Sibel Yalçın
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science,
University of Uludag,
Bursa, Turkey
email: *syalcin@uludag.edu.tr*