

ON η -EINSTEIN LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

U.C. DE AND K. DE

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C15, 53C25.

Keywords: LP-Sasakian manifold, η -Einstein manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1989 Matsumoto [7] introduced the notion of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. Then Mihai and Rosca [5] defined the same notion independently and they obtained several results in this manifold. LP-Sasakian manifolds have also been studied by Matsumoto and Mihai [8], Matsumoto, Mihai and Rosca [9], De and Shaikh [13], Ozgur [4] and many others.

The Ricci tensor S of an LP-Sasakian manifold is said to be η -Einstein if its Ricci tensor satisfies the following condition

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (1)$$

where a, b are smooth functions.

η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds have been studied by Mihai, Shaikh and De [6]. Also Shaikh, De and Binh [2] studied K-contact η -Einstein manifolds satisfying certain curvature conditions. Example of an η -Einstein manifold is given by Okumura [10]. Motivated by the above works we study some properties of η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds. The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, some preliminary results are recalled. After preliminaries, we find out the significance of the associated scalars in an LP-Sasakian η -Einstein manifold. In the next Section, we prove that the functions a and b of the defining equation (1) are constants, provided $tr\phi = 0$. We also obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for an LP-Sasakian manifold to be an η -Einstein manifold. Finally, we cited some examples of η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M^n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with a $(1, 1)$ tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and a Lorentzian metric g of type $(0, 2)$ such that for each point $p \in M$, the tensor $g_p: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-degenerate inner product of signature $(-, +, +, \dots, +)$, where T_pM denotes the tangent space of M at p and \mathbb{R} is the real number space which satisfies

$$\phi^2(X) = X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad (2)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (3)$$

for all vector fields X, Y . Then such a structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is termed as Lorentzian almost paracontact structure and the manifold M^n with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold [7]. In the Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold M^n , the following relations hold [7] :

$$\phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \Omega(Y, X), \quad (5)$$

where $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis such that $e_1 = \xi$. Then the Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature r are defined by

$$S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(R(e_i, X)Y, e_i)$$

and

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i S(e_i, e_i),$$

where we put $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i)$, that is, $\epsilon_1 = -1, \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_n = 1$.

A Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold M^n equipped with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called Lorentzian paracontact manifold if

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\{(\nabla_X \eta)Y + (\nabla_Y \eta)X\}.$$

A Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold M^n equipped with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an LP-Sasakian manifold [7] if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(\phi X, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi^2 X.$$

In an LP-Sasakian manifold the 1- form η is closed. Also in [7], it is proved that if an n - dimensional Lorentzian manifold (M^n, g) admits a timelike unit vector field ξ such that the 1- form η associated to ξ is closed and satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \eta)Z = g(X, Y)\eta(Z) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y) + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

then M^n admits an LP-Sasakian structure. Further, on such an LP-Sasakian manifold M^n (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , the following relations hold [7]:

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = [g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)], \quad (6)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n - 1)\eta(X), \quad (7)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad (8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \quad (9)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = [g(X, Y)\xi + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X], \quad (10)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z , where R, S denote respectively the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the manifold. Also since the vector field η is closed in an LP-Sasakian manifold, we have ([8],[7])

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = \Omega(X, Y), \quad (11)$$

$$\Omega(X, \xi) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi X, \quad (13)$$

for any vector field X and Y .

3. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE ASSOCIATED SCALARS IN AN LP-SASAKIAN η -EINSTEIN MANIFOLD

We can express (1) as follows:

$$S(X, \xi) = (a - b)g(X, \xi). \quad (14)$$

From (14), we conclude that $(a - b)$ is an eigen value of the Ricci operator Q defined by $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ and ξ is an eigen vector corresponding to this eigen value.

Let V be any other vector orthogonal to ξ so that

$$\eta(V) = 0. \tag{15}$$

From (1), we obtain

$$S(X, V) = ag(X, V) + b\eta(X)\eta(V), \tag{16}$$

Hence in virtue of (15), we get

$$S(X, V) = ag(X, V). \tag{17}$$

From (17), we see that a is an eigen value of the Ricci operator Q and V is an eigen vector corresponding to this eigen value. If the manifold under consideration is n -dimensional and V is any vector orthogonal to ξ , it follows from a known result in linear algebra [12] that the eigen value a is of multiplicity $(n - 1)$. Hence the multiplicity of the eigen value $(a - b)$ must be 1. Therefore we can state the following:

Theorem 3.1. In an LP-Sasakian η -Einstein manifold of dimension n , the Ricci operator Q has only two distinct eigen values $(a - b)$ and a of which the former is simple and the later is of multiplicity $(n - 1)$.

4. η -EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

This section deals with η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds.

From (1) we have

$$S(\phi X, Y) = ag(\phi X, Y), \tag{18}$$

$$S(\xi, \xi) = -a + b. \tag{19}$$

Theorem 4.1. The Ricci curvature of an η -Einstein LP-Sasakian manifold in the direction of ξ is equal to $-(n - 1)$.

Proof. Substituting ξ for X in (7) we have the theorem.

Theorem 4.2. The functions a and b of the defining equation (1) are constants, provided $\text{tr } \phi = 0$.

Proof. Equation (19) and (7) imply

$$-a + b = 1 - n. \quad (20)$$

So we need only to show that a is constant. Taking a frame field we get from (1),

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i S(e_i, e_i) = a \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(e_i, e_i) + b \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \eta(e_i) \eta(e_i),$$

which gives

$$r = na - b,$$

where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Now differentiating the above equation we have

$$dr(X) = nda(X) - db(X) = (n + 1)da(X). \quad (21)$$

Again from (1) we have

$$QX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi. \quad (22)$$

Differentiating (22) along Y , we get

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = (Ya)X + (Yb)\eta(X)\xi + bg(\phi X, Y)\xi + b\eta(X)\phi Y. \quad (23)$$

Contracting the above equation with respect to Y , we get

$$(div Q)X = Xa + (\xi b)\eta(X) + b\eta(X)tr\phi. \quad (24)$$

Using the identity [11] $(div Q)X = \frac{dr(X)}{2}$, (21) and $tr\phi = 0$, we get

$$(n - 1)da(X) = 2db(\xi)\eta(X). \quad (25)$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in it, we get

$$(n - 1)da(\xi) = -2db(\xi) = 2da(\xi),$$

which gives $da(\xi) = 0$ and hence $db(\xi) = 0$. Consequently (25) yields $da(X) = 0$.

We now obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for an LP-Sasakian manifold to be an η -Einstein manifold. In an LP-Sasakian manifold, the following relation holds [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y)\phi Z &= \phi R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)\phi X \\
 &\quad -g(X, Z)\phi Y + g(X, \phi Z)Y - g(Y, \phi Z)X \\
 &\quad + 2[g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)]\xi \\
 &\quad + 2[\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y]\eta(Z).
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Taking a frame field and contracting (26) with respect to X , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(Y, \phi Z) &= (C_1^1 \bar{R})(Y, Z) \\
 &\quad + [g(Y, Z) + 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)]tr\phi - (n+1)g(Y, \phi Z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where C_1^1 denotes contraction at the first slot and $\bar{R} = \phi R$.

Since $(C_1^1 \bar{R})(Y, Z) = (C_1^1 \bar{R})(Z, Y)$, from the above it is obvious that

$$S(Y, \phi Z) = S(Z, \phi Y). \tag{28}$$

Theorem 4.3. In order that an LP -Sasakian manifold to be an η -Einstein manifold it is necessary and sufficient that the symmetric tensor $(C_1^1 \bar{R})$ and Ω should be linearly dependent, provided $tr\phi = 0$.

Proof. At first we assume that $(C_1^1 \bar{R})$ and Ω are linearly dependent. Then from (27) we have

$$S(Y, \phi Z) = \lambda g(Y, \phi Z),$$

where λ is a scalar. Now using Theorem 4.2 we can easily seen that the manifold is a η -Einstein manifold.

Conversely, let the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold. Then we have

$$S(Y, Z) = ag(Y, Z) + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

Replacing Y by ϕY in the above equation we obtain

$$S(Y, \phi Z) = ag(Y, \phi Z). \tag{29}$$

Using (29) in (27) we see that $(C_1^1 \bar{R})$ and Ω are linearly dependent.

5. EXAMPLES

Example 5.1: [14] A conformally flat LP -Sasakian manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Example 5.2: [4] A ϕ -conformally flat LP -Sasakian manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Example 5.3: Let (M^{n-1}, \tilde{g}) be a hypersurface of (M^n, g) . If A is the (1,1) tensor corresponding to the normal valued second fundamental tensor H , then we have ([3],p.41),

$$\tilde{g}(A_\xi(X), Y) = g(H(X, Y), \xi) \tag{30}$$

where ξ is the unit normal vector field and X, Y are tangent vector fields. Let H_ξ be the symmetric (0,2)tensor associated with A_ξ in the hypersurface defined by

$$\tilde{g}(A_\xi(X), Y) = (H_\xi(X, Y)). \tag{31}$$

A hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called quasi-umbilical ([3], p.147) if its second fundamental tensor has the form

$$H_\xi(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \omega(X)\omega(Y) \tag{32}$$

where ω is a 1-form, the vector field corresponding to the 1-form ω is a unit vector field, and α, β are scalars. If $\alpha = 0$ (respectively $\beta = 0$ or $\alpha = \beta = 0$) holds, then it is called cylindrical (respectively umbilical or geodesic).

Now from (30), (31) and (32) we obtain

$$g(H(X, Y), \xi) = \alpha g(X, Y)g(\xi, \xi) + \beta \omega(X)\omega(Y)g(\xi, \xi)$$

which implies that

$$H(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y)\xi + \beta \omega(X)\omega(Y)\xi, \tag{33}$$

since ξ is the only unit normal vector field.

We have the following equation of Gauss ([3], p.45) for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W tangent to the hypersurface

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) - g(H(X, W), H(Y, Z)) + g(H(Y, W), H(X, Z)), \tag{34}$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the hypersurface.

Let us assume that the hypersurface is quasi-umbilical. Then from (33) and (34) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) + \alpha^2[g(Y, W)g(X, Z) \\ &\quad - g(X, W)g(Y, Z)] + \alpha\beta[g(Y, W)\omega(X)\omega(Z) \\ &\quad + g(X, Z)\omega(Y)\omega(W) - g(X, W)\omega(Y)\omega(Z) \\ &\quad - g(Y, Z)\omega(X)\omega(W)]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We know that every LP -Sasakian space form is of constant curvature 1 [14]. Hence we have

$$R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y$$

which implies that

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W). \quad (36)$$

Using (36) in (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) &= (\alpha^2 - 1)[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta[g(Y, W)\omega(X)\omega(Z) + g(X, Z)\omega(Y)\omega(W) \\ &\quad - g(X, W)\omega(Y)\omega(Z) - g(Y, Z)\omega(X)\omega(W)]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be an orthonormal frame at any point of the manifold. Then putting $X = W = \{e_i\}$ in (37) and taking summation over i , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_i, Y)Z, e_i) &= (\alpha^2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i [g(e_i, e_i)g(Y, Z) - g(e_i, Z)g(Y, e_i)] \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i [g(Y, e_i)\omega(e_i)\omega(Z) + g(e_i, Z)\omega(Y)\omega(e_i) \\ &\quad - g(e_i, e_i)\omega(Y)\omega(Z) - g(Y, Z)\omega(e_i)\omega(e_i)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{S}(Y, Z) = [(\alpha^2 - 1)(n - 1) - \alpha\beta]g(Y, Z) + \alpha\beta(n - 2)\omega(Y)\omega(Z). \quad (38)$$

Thus a quasi-umbilical hypersurface of an LP -Sasakian space form is η -Einstein.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Aqeel, U.C. De, and G.C. Ghosh, *On Lorentzian para- Sasakian manifolds*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng., 31(2004), 1 – 13.
- [2] A.A. Shaikh, U.C. De and T.Q. Binh, *On K -contact η -Einstein manifolds*, Steps in Differential Geometry, Debrecen, 2000, 311-315.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker. Inc. New York, (1973).
- [4] C. Ozgur, *ϕ -conformally flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Radovi matematički, 12 (2003), 99 – 106.
- [5] I. Mihai, and R. Rosca, *On Lorentzian P -Sasakian manifolds*, Classical Analysis. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, 155 – 169.
- [6] I. Mihai, A.A. Shaikh and U.C. De, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Korean J. Math. Sciences 6(1999), 1-13.
- [7] K. Matsumoto, *On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds*, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci. 12(1989), 151 – 156.
- [8] K. Matsumoto, and I. Mihai, *On a certain transformation in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold*, Tensor (N.S.), 47(1988), 189 – 197.
- [9] K. Matsumoto , I. Mihai, and R. Rosca, *ξ -null geodesic gradient vector fields on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold*. J. Korean Math. Soc. 32(1995), 17 – 31.
- [10] M. Okumura, *On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. 1962, 898-912.
- [11] P. Peterson, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer, p-33.
- [12] P. Shirokov, *Tensor Calculus*, Mathematical Literature (1934), 161-165.
- [13] U.C. De and A.A. Shaikh, *On 3-dimensional LP -Sasakian manifolds*, Soochow J. Math., 26(2000), 359 – 368.
- [14] U.C. De, K. Matsumoto and A.A. Shaikh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Rendiconti del Seminario Mat. de Messina, 3(1999), 149-158.

Uday Chand De,
Department of Pure Mathematics,
Calcutta University ,
35 Ballygunge Circular Road
Kol 700019, West Bengal, India. email *uc_de@yahoo.com*

Krishnendu De,
Konnagar High School(H.S.),
68 G.T. Road (West),Konnagar, Hooghly,
Pin.712235, West Bengal, India. email *krishnendu_de@yahoo.com*