

ON A SUBCLASS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION WITH FIXED SECOND COEFFICIENT

T. ROSY AND S. SUNIL VARMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a new subclass of meromorphic function with fixed second coefficient defined by Fox-Wright's generalized hypergeometric function. We obtain coefficient estimates, extreme points, growth and distortion theorems, radii of meromorphically starlikeness and convexity for this new subclass.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: meromorphic functions, Hadamard product, fixed second coefficient, coefficient inequalities, radii of meromorphically starlikeness and convexity.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by Σ the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$\Delta^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} / 0 < |z| < 1\}.$$

Let Σ_P denote the class of functions of the form (1) with $a_n \geq 0$ i.e.

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order α if

$$-Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha$$

and meromorphically convex of order α if

$$-Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha.$$

We denote the class of meromorphically starlike functions and the class of meromorphically convex functions by $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ and $\Sigma_K(\alpha)$ respectively. Various subclasses of Σ have been defined and studied by various authors (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17]).

The Hadamard product between $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \Sigma$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

For positive real parameters $\alpha_1, A_1, \dots, \alpha_l, A_l, \beta_1, B_1, \dots, \beta_m, B_m$ ($l, m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) such that $1 + \sum_{k=1}^m B_k - \sum_{k=1}^l A_k \geq 0, z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}/0 < |z| < 1\}$ the Wright's generalized hypergeometric function

$${}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_l, A_l); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_m, B_m); z] = {}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}(\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}; z]$$

is defined by

$${}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}(\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}; z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{t=0}^l \Gamma(\alpha_t + kA_t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{t=0}^m \Gamma(\beta_t + kB_t) \right\}^{-1} \frac{z^k}{k!}.$$

If $A_t = 1$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and $B_t = 1$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, m$) we have the relationship

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_l \Psi_m[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}(\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}; z] &\equiv {}_l F_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_l)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_m)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \\ & \quad (l \leq m + 1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}; z \in \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

This is the generalized hypergeometric function (see [7]). Here (α_n) is the Pochhammer symbol and $\Omega = \left(\prod_{t=0}^l \Gamma(\alpha_t) \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{t=0}^m \Gamma(\beta_t) \right)$.

Using the generalized hypergeometric function, we define a linear operator

$$V[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}; (\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}] : \Sigma_P \rightarrow \Sigma_P$$

by

$$V[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}; (\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}]f(z) = z^{-1} \Omega_l \Psi_m[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}(\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}; z] * f(z). \quad (3)$$

For convenience, we denote $V[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,l}; (\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}]$ by $V[\alpha_1]$. If f has the form (1), then

$$V[\alpha_1]f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n z^n, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\sigma_n(\alpha_1) = \frac{\Omega\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(n+1)) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_l + A_l(n+1))}{(k+1)!\Gamma(\beta_1 + B_1(n+1)) \dots \Gamma(\beta_l + B_l(n+1))}.$$

We now define a new subclass of Σ_P using the linear operator $V[\alpha_1]$.

Definition 1. For $0 \leq \eta < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}/0 < |z| < 1\}$ we say $f \in \Sigma_P$ is in $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$ if

$$-Re\left(\frac{z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))' + \lambda z^2(V[\alpha_1]f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)(V[\alpha_1]f(z)) + \lambda z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'}\right) > \eta.$$

Note that when $A_t = 1$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $B_t = 1$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we get the class considered by Dziok et al. [5].

We now prove the coefficient inequality for $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \Sigma_P$ be given by (2). Then $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)]\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n \leq (1-\eta)(1-2\lambda). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Since $f \in \Sigma_P$ given by (2) is in the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$,

$$-Re\left(\frac{z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))' + \lambda z^2(V[\alpha_1]f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)(V[\alpha_1]f(z)) + \lambda z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'}\right) > \eta.$$

Substituting the series expansion for f we have

$$Re\left(\frac{\frac{-1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^n + \frac{2\lambda}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda n(n-1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^n}{(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^n\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{-1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^n\right)}\right) \geq \eta.$$

That is,

$$Re\left(\frac{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1} - 2\lambda - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda n(n-1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1}}{(1-\lambda)\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1}\right) + \lambda\left(-1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1}\right)}\right) \geq \eta.$$

Allowing z to take only real values and as $z \rightarrow 1$, we get (5). Conversely, let $f \in \Sigma_P$ be given by (2) such that (5) holds. Let

$$w = \frac{-(z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))' + \lambda z^2(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'')}{(1-\lambda)(V[\alpha_1]f(z)) + \lambda z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'}.$$

We have to prove that $Re w > \eta$. It is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} |w - 1| &< |w + 1 - 2\eta| \\ \left| \frac{w - 1}{w + 1 - 2\eta} \right| &= \left| \frac{-z(V[\alpha_1](f(z)))' - \lambda z^2(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'' - (1-\lambda)(V[\alpha_1]f(z)) - \lambda z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'}{-z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))' - \lambda z^2(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'' + (1-2\eta)(1-\lambda)(V[\alpha_1]f(z)) + \lambda(1-2\eta)z(V[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n-1) + \lambda n] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n z^{n+1}}{2(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n^2 - \lambda n - 1 + \lambda + 2\eta - 2\eta\lambda] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n z^{n+1}} \right| \\ &< \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda n^2 + n + 1 - \lambda] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n r^{n+1}}{2(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda n^2 - 2\lambda n + n - 1 + \lambda + 2\eta - 2\eta\lambda + 2\eta\lambda n] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n r^{n+1}} \\ &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

since the difference between denominator and numerator of the last expression equals $2[(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda n^2 + n - \lambda n + \eta - \eta\lambda + n\eta\lambda]]$ which is non-negative, by (5).

This completes the proof.

From (5) we have

$$(1 + \eta)\sigma_1 a_1 \leq \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)}{1 + \eta}. \tag{6}$$

Hence we may take

$$(1 + \eta)\sigma_1 a_1 = \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta}, \quad 0 < c < 1. \tag{7}$$

Following the works of Aouf and Darwish [1], Ghanim and Darus [7, 8], Magesh et al. [11] and Sivasubramanian et al. [13], we now introduce a class of functions and obtain the results analogous to the above mentioned works.

Definition 2. The subclass $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ of $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$ consists of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta} z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n z^n, \quad 0 < c < 1. \tag{8}$$

We now obtain the coefficient estimates, growth and distortion bounds, extreme points, radii of meromorphically starlikeness and convexity for the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$ by fixing the second coefficient.

2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITY

We now prove the coefficient inequality.

Theorem 2. *Let f be defined by (8). Then $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n \leq (1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c). \quad (9)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ implies $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta)$. Therefore by (5)

$$(1 + \eta)\sigma_1(\alpha_1)a_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n \leq (1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda).$$

Using (7)

$$(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) a_n \leq (1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)$$

from which we obtain (9). The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta} z + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c)}{(n + \eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)} z^n, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (10)$$

Corollary 3. *If f defined by (8) is in the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c)}{(n + \eta)(n\lambda - \lambda + 1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (11)$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (10).

3. GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREMS

We next prove the growth theorem for the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$.

Theorem 4. *If f given by (8) is in the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$*

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta} r - \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c)}{(1 + \lambda)(2 + \eta)} r^2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta} r + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c)}{(1 + \lambda)(2 + \eta)} r^2. \quad (13)$$

The result is sharp for $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)c}{1 + \eta} z + \frac{(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\lambda)(1 - c)}{(1 + \lambda)(2 + \eta)} z^2$.

Proof. Since $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ by Theorem 2

$$\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n \leq \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)}. \quad (14)$$

For $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{|z|} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}|z| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n|z|^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r + r^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\eta)}r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{|z|} - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}|z| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n|z|^n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r - r^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\eta)}r^2. \end{aligned}$$

The distortion theorem for the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ is as follows:

Theorem 5. *If f given by (8) is in the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$*

$$|f'(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta} - \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\eta)}r \quad (15)$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\eta)}r. \quad (16)$$

The result is sharp for $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\eta)}z^2$.

4. EXTREME POINTS

Theorem 6. *Let $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z$ and for $n \geq 2$,*

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}z^n.$$

Then $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ if and only if it can be expressed as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z), \quad \mu_n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1.$$

Proof. Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$, $\mu_n \geq 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$. Then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)} \mu_n z^n.$$

Now

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)\mu_n}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)} \frac{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1.$$

This implies $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$. Conversely, let $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$. Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Set $\mu_n = \frac{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)} a_n$, $n \geq 2$ and $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$.

Theorem 7. *The class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ is closed under convex combination.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ such that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

For $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, let

$$h(z) = \mu f(z) + (1-\mu)g(z).$$

Then

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [a_n \mu + (1-\mu)b_n] z^n.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)] \sigma_n(\alpha_1) [a_n \mu + (1-\mu)b_n] \leq (1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c).$$

This implies $h(z) = \mu f(z) + (1-\mu)g(z) \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$. Hence $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ is closed under convex combination.

5. RADII OF MEROMORPHICALLY STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Theorem 8. Let $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$. Then f is meromorphically starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_1(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$, where $r_1(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$ is the largest value for which

$$\left(\frac{(3-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta} \right) r^2 + \left(\frac{(n+2-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)} \right) r^{n+1} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (17)$$

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta \quad (18)$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| = \left| \frac{zf'(z) + f(z)}{f(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{2(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)cz^2}{1+\eta} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1}}{1 + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1}} \right|$$

(18) is true if

$$\left| \frac{2(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1} \right| \leq (1-\delta) \left| 1 + \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}z^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n z^{n+1} \right|.$$

That is

$$\frac{(3-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+2-\delta)a_n r^{n+1} \leq 1 - \delta.$$

From Theorem 1 we may take

$$a_n = \frac{(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}\mu_n, \quad n \geq 2, \quad \mu_n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1.$$

For each fixed r , we choose the positive integer $n_0 = n_0(r)$ for which $\frac{(n+2-\delta)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)}r^{n+1}$ is maximal. This implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+2-\delta)\sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_n r^{n+1} \leq \frac{(n_0+2-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n_0+\eta)(n_0\lambda-\lambda+1)}r^{n_0+1}.$$

Then f is starlike of order δ in $0 < |z| < r_1(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$ if

$$\frac{(3-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r^2 + \frac{(n_0+2-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n_0+\eta)(n_0\lambda-\lambda+1)}r^{n_0+1} \leq 1 - \delta.$$

We have to find the value of $r_0 = r_0(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$ and the corresponding integer $n_0(r_0)$ so that

$$\frac{(3-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}r^2 + \frac{(n_0+2-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n_0+\eta)(n_0\lambda-\lambda+1)}r^{n_0+1} = 1 - \delta. \quad (19)$$

It is the value for which $f(z)$ is starlike of order δ in $0 < |z| < r_0$.

We now state a result for radius of meromorphic convexity for the class $N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$ for which the proof is similar to above.

Theorem 9. *Let $f \in N_m^l(\lambda, \eta, c)$. Then f is meromorphically convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_2(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$ where $r_2(\lambda, \eta, c, \delta)$ is the largest value for $n \geq 2$,*

$$\left(\frac{(3-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)c}{1+\eta}\right)r^2 + \left(\frac{n(n+2-\delta)(1-\eta)(1-2\lambda)(1-c)}{(n+\eta)(n\lambda-\lambda+1)}\right)r^{n+1} \leq 1 - \delta. \quad (20)$$

Remark 1. *By specializing the parameters in the Fox-Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions we obtain the class of Dziok et al. [5]. The corresponding class of fixed second coefficient can be defined and results analogue to the above can be obtained.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like thank the referee for his/her insightful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf and H. E. Darwish, *Certain meromorphically starlike functions with positive and fixed second coefficients*, Turkish J. Math. 21 (1997), no. 3, 311–316.
- [2] N. E. Cho, S. H. Lee and S. Owa, *A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Kobe J. Math. 4 (1987), no. 1, 43–50.
- [3] J. Clunie, *On meromorphic schlicht functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 34 (1959), 215–216.
- [4] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [5] J. Dziok, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and J. Sokół, *On certain class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 32 (2012), no. 4, 1376–1390.
- [6] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, *On certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed residue α* , Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 26 (2007), no. 1, 195–207.
- [7] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, *On class of hypergeometric meromorphic functions with fixed second positive coefficients*, Gen. Math. 17 (2009), no. 4, 13–28.
- [8] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, *On a certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients*, Surv. Math. Appl. 5 (2010), 49–60.
- [9] S. Kavitha, S. Sivasubramanian and K. Muthunagai, *A new subclass of meromorphic function with positive coefficients*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (2010), no. 3, 109–121.

- [10] J. Ma, *Extreme points and minimal outer area problem for meromorphic univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220 (1998), no. 2, 769–773.
- [11] N. Magesh, N. B. Gatti and S. Mayilvaganan, *On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions with positive and fixed second coefficients involving the Liu-Srivastava linear operator*, ISRN Math. Anal. 2012, Art. ID 698307, 11 pp.
- [12] S. Owa and N. N. Pascu, *Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of meromorphically starlike and meromorphically convex functions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (2003), no. 1, Article 17, 6 pp.
- [13] S. Sivasubramanian, N. Magesh and M. Darus, *A new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive and fixed second coefficients*, Tamkang J. Math. 44 (2013), no. 3, 271–278.
- [14] G. Schober, *Univalent functions—selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 478, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [15] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Fixed coefficients for subclasses of starlike functions*, Houston J. Math. 7 (1981), no. 1, 129–136.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Current topics in analytic function theory*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [17] B. A. Uralegaddi, *Meromorphically starlike functions with positive and fixed second coefficients*, Kyungpook Math. J. 29, (1989), no. 1, 64–68.

Thomas Rosy and S. Sunil Varma
Department of Mathematics
Madras Christian College
Tambaram, Chennai
Tamil Nadu - 600059.
email: *thomas.rosy@gmail.com, sunu_79@yahoo.com*