

## SIGNAL IDENTIFICATION USING A MODIFY STRUCTURED NONLINEAR TOTAL LEAST NORM ALGORITHM

by  
**R. V. Pechenkin**

**Abstract.** This paper proceeds series of papers devoted for solving overdetermined systems ( $A E_{\text{error}})x = b + \beta_{\text{error}}$  where errors occur as in the matrix  $A$  as in the vector  $b$ .

A problem is describe of the SNTLN modification (Structured Nonlinear Total Least Norm algorithm) for solving nonlinear system  $A(\alpha)x = b$ . Numerical experiments have showed that performed modification is well founded.

**Keywords:** Total least squares, total least norm, nonlinear total least norm, overdetermined linear system, signal identification.

### 1. Introduction

There are some effective methods for solving nonlinear overdetermined system  $A(\alpha) \approx b$ . The SNTLN [3]-[4] is one of these. In this case the matrix  $A$  has Vandermond structure. The essence of the SNTLN algorithm is the optimal criterion which is next:

$$\left\| \begin{array}{l} r(\alpha, x) \\ \alpha - \hat{\alpha} \end{array} \right\|_p \rightarrow \min_{\alpha, x} \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is the residual vector,  $\hat{\alpha}$  is an initial estimate of the vector  $\alpha$  which is equivalent to the matrix  $A$ ,  $\alpha - \hat{\alpha}$  is a correction vector. The minimum solution search is done by using a linearization procedure.

Although numerical experiments which have been done by authors of [3]-[4] show that the SNTLN is effective algorithm nevertheless in the applied problems errors occur not only in  $A$  but in  $b$  too. In fact this approach does not use the information about the system there is in vector the  $b$  (does not correct it). That is why we propose to add extra term  $\beta$  and reconstruct the vector  $b$  at each iteration.

## 2. SNTLN algorithm modification

The kernel of the parameter and frequency estimation problems which occur in practice is using functional where  $t$  and  $y$  are input and output vectors in the next form:

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j(\alpha, t)$$

where  $f_j(\alpha, t)$  are respective functions. The essence of identification such objects is estimated  $\alpha$  and  $x$  using measurements  $\{t_i, y_i\}$   $i = 0, \dots, m-1$  and known functions  $f_j(\alpha, t)$  where  $m$  is number of measurements. This problem equivalent to the next problem of solving overdetermined system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(\alpha, t_0) & f_2(\alpha, t_0) & \dots & f_n(\alpha, t_0) \\ f_1(\alpha, t_1) & f_2(\alpha, t_1) & \dots & f_n(\alpha, t_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_1(\alpha, t_{m-1}) & f_2(\alpha, t_{m-1}) & \dots & f_n(\alpha, t_{m-1}) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

But in fact vector  $b = y(t) + \varepsilon$  are registered where  $\varepsilon$  represent the noise random or normal mostly.

The SNTLN modification consists of adding extra correction vector  $\beta$ . In this case the residual vector  $r = b(\beta) - A(\alpha)x$  is a function depended on  $(\alpha, x, \beta)$ . The optimal criterion of the modified algorithm have to be stated as:

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} r(\alpha, x, \beta) \\ \alpha - \hat{\alpha} \\ \beta \end{array} \right\|_p \rightarrow \min_{\alpha, x, \beta} \quad (2)$$

This problem could be solved by using a linearization procedure conformable to the residual vector  $r(\alpha, x, \beta)$ .

$$r(\alpha + \Delta \alpha, x + \Delta x, \beta + \Delta \beta) = r(\alpha, x, \beta) - A(\alpha) \Delta x - J(\alpha, x) \Delta \alpha + \Delta \beta \quad (3)$$

where  $J(\alpha, x)$  is the Jacobian of the expression  $A(\alpha)x$ . If  $a_i(\alpha)$  represents the  $i$ -th column of matrix  $A(\alpha)$  then:

$$J(\alpha, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \nabla_{\alpha} a_i(\alpha) = \nabla_{\alpha} [A(\alpha)x]$$

The matrix form of the optimal criterion (2) using a linearization (3) is next:

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} J(\alpha, x) & A(\alpha) & -I_m \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta\alpha \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta\beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -r \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \rightarrow \min_{\Delta\alpha, \Delta x, \Delta\beta}$$

For clarity, it is useful to make a next notation:

$$\varphi(x, \alpha, \beta) = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} r(\alpha, x, \beta) \\ \alpha - \hat{\alpha} \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p$$

### SNTLN algorithm modification

**Input** –  $A(\hat{\alpha})$ ,  $\hat{x}$ ,  $b$  and  $\varepsilon$

**Output** –  $x$ ,  $\beta$  and residual vector  $r$

1. Set  $\alpha = \hat{\alpha}$ ,  $x = \hat{x}$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . Compute  $A(\alpha)$ ,  $J(\alpha, x)$  set  $r = b - Ax$

2. **repeat**

a)  $\min_{\Delta\alpha, \Delta x, \Delta\beta} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} J(\alpha, x) & A(\alpha) & -I_m \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta\alpha \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta\beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -r \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p$

b) set  $\delta = r(\alpha, x, \beta) - r(\alpha + \theta \Delta \alpha, x + \theta \Delta x, \beta + \theta \Delta \beta)$

c)  $\min_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} = \varphi(\alpha + \theta \Delta \alpha, x + \theta \Delta x, \beta + \theta \Delta \beta)$

d)  $x := x + \Delta x$ ,  $\alpha := \alpha + \Delta \alpha$ ,  $\beta := \beta + \Delta \beta$

e) Compute  $A(\alpha)$ ,  $J(\alpha, x)$  set  $r = b - Ax$ .

**until**  $\delta \geq \varepsilon$

Numerical tests have showed that  $\hat{x} = \min \|Ax - b\|_2$  is a good initial estimate for the vector  $x$ . It is due to underline that the SNTLN and its modification do not require uniformly dispersion of  $t_i$ .

**SNTLN modification optimality conditions for  $p = 2$**

If  $p = 2$  step 2(a) is equivalent of minimizing the differentiable function  $\delta(\alpha, x, \beta)$ :

$$\delta(\alpha, x, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} r^T r + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha})^T (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \beta$$

The first-order optimal conditions for a local optimum  $\delta$  using the relations presented above become:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi &= -J^T r + I_n (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}) = 0 \\ \nabla_x \varphi &= -A(\alpha)^T r = 0 \\ \nabla_{\beta} \varphi &= -I_m r + I_m \beta = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In fact we need to solve over-determined system at each iteration

$$\begin{bmatrix} J(\alpha, x) & A(\alpha) & -I_m \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \alpha \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Let  $M$  be a matrix at the (5). Using the optimality conditions (4) normal equations for system (5) are:

$$M^T M \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \alpha \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta \beta \end{bmatrix} = M^T \begin{bmatrix} r \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi \\ \nabla_x \varphi \\ \nabla_{\beta} \varphi \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Therefore normal solution of the system (5) can be find by pseudoinverse of  $M$  or QR decomposition of  $M^T M$ . These approaches are equivalent to the minimum solution search (4).

When  $M$  - has full rank then  $M^T M$  - positive definite and there is a unique solution for the vector  $(\Delta \alpha^T, \Delta x^T, \Delta \beta^T)$ .

This vector equals to zeros vector if and only if when right-hand-side (6) equals to zero. In fact step 2a is, in effect Gauss-Newton method which uses  $M^T M$  as positive definite approximation to Hessian [1]. And the converge to optimum solution at step 2a SNTLNM is the same as SNTLN (at the second order rate).

### 3. Computational experiments

Osborne's signal [3] which is an exponential sum was chosen for computational test ( $t_i \in [0, 1], i = 1 \dots 30$ ):

$$y(t) = 0.5 \exp(0t) + 2 \exp(-4t) - 1.5 \exp(-7t).$$

Random noise  $\varepsilon \in [-10^{-3}; 10^{-3}]$  was added to the correct values. For comparison the result the problem of fitting have been solved by using a standard `lsqcurvefit` function from Matlab. The computed results are tabulated at the tab 2. Additional characteristic such as  $\|r\|$ - norm of the residual vector and the relative error of the vectors  $\alpha$  and  $x$  are tabulated at the tab2.

Alg	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$\alpha_3$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$
Model	0	4.0000	7.0000	0.5000	2.0000	-1.5000
Initial	0.3000	5.0000	6.5000	0.6771	3.1300	-2.8020
lsqcurvefit	0.2034	4.9912	6.6508	0.6263	3.1577	-2.7862
SNTLN	0.1989	4.9908	6.6582	0.6241	3.1583	-2.7849
SNTLNM	0.1569	4.9866	6.7254	0.6034	3.1665	-2.7756

Table1: Obtained results

Obtained results show that performed modification is well founded. And that standard `lsqcurvefit` result can be improved.

Alg	$\ r\ $	$\varepsilon_\alpha$	$\varepsilon_x$
lsqcurvefit	0.0110	0.1287	0.6806
SNTLN	0.0110	0.1323	0.6803
SNTLNM	0.0096	0.1285	0.6792

Table2: Additional characteristics

A modification of the Structured Total Least Norm algorithm has been presented for solving a class of problem related to SNTLN. Both theoretical and computational analysis show that this modification is well-founded when right-hand-side is subject on error. Research SNTLNM algorithm in different norms  $p = 1, \infty$  is planning as future work.

**References:**

- [1] E. Gill, W. Murray, H. Wright, *Practical optimization*, Academic press, London, 1981.
- [2] Rosen J. B., Park H., Glick J., *Total least norm problems formulation and solution* // UMSI research report. Minneapolis (Mn): Univ of Minnesota. Supercomput. Inst., 1993, 93/223, 18 p.
- [3] Rosen J. B., Park H., Glick J., *Structured nonlinear total least norm problems* // UMSI research report. Minneapolis (Mn): Univ of Minnesota. Supercomput. Inst., 1995, 95/152, 11 p.
- [4] Rosen J. B., Park H., Glick J., *Signal identification using a least  $L_1$  norm algorithm* // UMSI research report. Minneapolis (Mn): Univ of Minnesota. Supercomput. Inst., 1998, 98/162, 12 p.

**Author:**

**R. V. Pechenkin** - Department of Mathematics Moscow State Pedagogical University, postal address: Russian Federation, Moscow, 109033 st Volochaevskay 19-31, email pechonkin@pochta.ru.