

**ON A CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS
BASED ON AL-OBIDI OPERATOR**

S.P. VIJAYALAKSHMI¹, T.V. SUDHARSAN², B. ADOLF STEPHEN³, M. ACU⁴

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the subclass $TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\lambda, \beta \geq 0$ of analytic functions with negative coefficients. This class is motivated by the study of Sudharsan et al. (2010). We obtain a coefficient characterization, growth and distortion theorems, closure theorem and a convolution result for functions in this class.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be the set of functions regular in the unit disc $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let $A = \{f(z) \in H | f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0\}$ and $S = \{f(z) \in A : f(z) \text{ is univalent in } \Delta\}$ where

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, let $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ denote the subfamilies of S consisting of functions starlike of order α and convex of order α respectively. A function $f \in S$ is called convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if $R\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \geq \alpha$ ($z \in \Delta$). A function $f \in S$ is called starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if $R\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \geq \alpha$.

The subfamily T of S consists of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

$a_n \geq 0$, for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in \Delta$. Silverman [8] investigated functions in the classes $T^*(\alpha) = T \cap S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha) = T \cap K(\alpha)$.

Let $n \in N_0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Denote by D_λ^n , the Al-Oboudi operator [3] defined by $D_\lambda^n : A \rightarrow A$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = D_\lambda f(z) \\ D_\lambda^n f(z) &= D_\lambda[D_\lambda^{n-1} f(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $f(z)$ given by (1),

$$D_\lambda^n = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^n a_j z^j.$$

When $\lambda = 1$, D_λ^n is the Sălăgean differential operator [6], $D^n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in N$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= Df(z) = zf'(z) \\ D^n f(z) &= D[D^{n-1} f(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Extending the Al-Oboudi operator D_λ^n Acu and Owa [2] considered the operator D_λ^β which is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. [2] Let $\beta, \lambda \in R$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$. We denote by D_λ^β the linear operator defined by

$$D_\lambda^\beta : A \rightarrow A, \quad D_\lambda^\beta f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^\beta a_j z^j$$

Remark 1.1. If $f \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $z \in \Delta$, then

$$D_\lambda^\beta f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^\beta a_j z^j$$

Definition 1.2. [1] Let $f(z) \in T$ be of the form given by (2). Then for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $f(z)$ is in the class $TL_\beta(\alpha)$ if $Re \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^\beta f(z)} > \alpha$, and is in the class $T^C L_\beta(\alpha)$ if $Re \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} > \alpha$.

Using the operator D_λ^β Sudharsan et al. [10] introduced and studied the classes $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and $TV^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. [10] Let $f \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in \Delta$. We say that $f(z)$ is in the class $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^\beta f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^\beta f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1], \lambda \geq 0, \beta \geq 0.$$

We say that $f(z)$ is in the class $TV^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1], \lambda \geq 0, \beta \geq 0.$$

Motivated by the above definitions, we now define the following subclass $S_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$.

Definition 1.4. For $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\delta, \beta \geq 0$. Let $S_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ be the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|$$

We further let $TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta) = S_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta) \cap T$, $z \in \Delta$.

Remark 1.2.

1. $TS_p^1(\mu, \alpha, 0, \delta) = TS_p(\mu, \alpha, \delta)$ [5].
2. $TS_p^1(0, 0, 0, \delta) = TS_p(\delta)$ [9].
3. $TS_p^1(0, \alpha, 0, 1) = TS_p(\alpha)$ [4].
4. $TS_p^\lambda(0, \alpha, \beta, 1) = TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ [10].
5. $TS_p^\lambda(0, \alpha, \beta, 0) = TL_\beta(\alpha)$ [1].
6. $TS_p^1(0, \alpha, 0, 1) = T^* \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2} \right)$ [8].

In this paper, we obtain the sharp result for coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, growth theorems and convolution result for the class $TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (2) is in $TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j \leq 1 - \alpha. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $f \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ with $\lambda, \beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

If we take $z \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda, \beta \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1}}{(1-\mu) \left[1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta a_j z^{j-1} \right] + \mu \left[\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1} \right]} - \alpha \geq \\ & \delta \left[1 - \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1}}{(1-\mu) \left[1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta a_j z^{j-1} \right] + \mu \left[\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1} \right]} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j z^{j-1} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis we have,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Conversely, let us take $f(z) \in T$ for which the relation (3) hold. It suffices to show that

$$\delta \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| - Re \left\{ \frac{D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_\lambda^\beta f(z) + \mu D_\lambda^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

$z \in \Delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \left| \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_{\lambda}^{\beta} f(z) + \mu D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_{\lambda}^{\beta} f(z) + \mu D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \delta \left| \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_{\lambda}^{\beta} f(z) + \mu D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_{\lambda}^{\beta} f(z) + \mu D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (\delta + 1) \left| \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)}{(1-\mu)D_{\lambda}^{\beta} f(z) + \mu D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+\delta) \frac{\left[\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} |a_j| (j-1)\lambda(1-\mu) \right]}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} |a_j| (1+\mu(j-1)\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $1 - \alpha$ if

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1+(j-1)\lambda]^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Remark 2.1. If $f \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, then

$$a_j \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}]}$$

for $j = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and equality holds for

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}]} z^j.$$

When $\mu = 0$ and $\delta = 1$, we have the following corollary proved in [10].

Corollary 2.1. The necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f(z)$ in the form (2) to be in the class $TS_p^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, is:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta} [2(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] a_j \leq 1 - \alpha$$

3. GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(z) \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} r - \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right] r^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r + r^2 \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds for

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)(1+\lambda)^\beta} z^2 \text{ at } z = \pm r.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, $f(z) \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j \leq 1 - \alpha$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^\beta \{j(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda) - [\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda + \alpha - \alpha\mu + \lambda - 1]\} a_j \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

$$\text{This implies } \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^\beta a_j (j-t) \leq 1 - t, \quad (4)$$

where $t = 1 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda} \right)$. But

$$(1+\lambda)^\beta (2-t) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1+(j-1)\lambda)^\beta a_j (j-t) \leq 1 - t$$

This last inequality follows from (4). We obtain,

$$|f(z)| \leq r + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j r^j \leq r + r^2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \leq r + r^2 \left(\frac{1-t}{(2-t)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$|f(z)| \geq r - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j r^j \leq r - r^2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \leq r - r^2 \left(\frac{1-t}{(2-t)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right).$$

Thus,

$$r - \left(\frac{1-t}{(2-t)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right) r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \left(\frac{1-t}{(2-t)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} r - \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right] r^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r + r^2 \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

Corollary 3.1. When $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$ we obtain for the functions $f(z) \in TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$r - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\lambda)^\beta[2\lambda+1-\alpha]} \right) r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\lambda)^\beta[2\lambda+1-\alpha]} \right)$$

, $|z| = r$.

This corollary is due to [10].

Theorem 3.2. If $f \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^\beta(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right] r &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + r \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^\beta(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $f \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^\beta a_j (j-t) \leq 1-t,$$

where $t = 1 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda} \right)$.

In view of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} ja_j &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (j-t)a_j + t \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \\ &\leq \frac{1-t}{(1+\lambda)^\beta} + t \left(\frac{1-t}{(2-t)(1+\lambda)^\beta} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-t)}{(1+\lambda)^\beta(2-t)} \end{aligned}$$

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} ja_j |z|^{j-1} \leq 1 + r \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} ja_j \leq 1 + r \left[\frac{2(1-t)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2-t)} \right]$$

Similarly,

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} ja_j |z|^{j-1} \geq 1 - r \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} ja_j \geq 1 - r \left[\frac{2(1-t)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2-t)} \right]$$

Hence,

$$1 - r \left[\frac{2(1-t)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2-t)} \right] \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + r \left[\frac{2(1-t)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2-t)} \right]$$

Substituting for t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right] r &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + r \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(\delta\lambda - \delta\mu\lambda - \alpha\mu + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. When $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$ we obtain for the functions $f(z) \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$1 - \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2\lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right] r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)^{\beta}(2\lambda - \alpha + 1)} \right]$$

r , $|z| = r$.

This corollary is due to [10].

4. CLOSURE THEOREM

Theorem 4.1. If $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} z^j$$

where

$$E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha) = (1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}],$$

then $f \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$, where $\lambda_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$, $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z) \\ &= \lambda_1 z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} z^j \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[\frac{(1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} z^j \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left[\frac{(1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} z^j \right] \end{aligned}$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha) \lambda_j (1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} \\ &= (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j \\ &= (1-\alpha)(1-\lambda_1) \\ &< 1-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the condition (3) for $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$ is satisfied.
Thus $f(z) \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$.

Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$,
 $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$ and take

$$\lambda_j = \frac{(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}]}{(1-\alpha)} a_j \geq 0,$$

$j = 2, 3, \dots$, with $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j$,
so that $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j$.

Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha) a_j \\ &< \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $1 - \lambda_1 < 1$ or $\lambda_1 > 0$.

Corollary 4.1. *The extreme points of $TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ are $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{E(j, \lambda, \beta, \delta, \mu, \alpha)} z^j$$

When $\mu = 0, \delta = 1$, we obtain $f_j(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+(j-1)\lambda)^\beta(2(j-1)\lambda+1-\alpha)} z^j$. This result, regarding the subclass $TS_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, is due to [10].

5. HADAMARD PRODUCT

Definition 5.1. [7] For two functions $f(z), g(z) \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ and $g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$, ($b_j \geq 0, j = 2, 3, \dots$), the modified Hadamard product $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j$$

To prove the next theorem, we need the following result due to Silverman [8] (for more details see [6]).

Theorem A. *If $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in U$, then the next assertions are equivalent:*

$$(i) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j a_j \leq 1$$

$$(ii) f \in T$$

$$(iii) f \in T^*, \text{ where } T^* = T \cap S^* \text{ and } S^* \text{ is the well-known class of starlike functions.}$$

Theorem 5.1. *If $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$ ($a_j \geq 0, j = 2, 3, \dots$) and $g(z) \in T$, with $g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, $b_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, then $f(z) * g(z) \in TS_p^\lambda(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j < 1 - \alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^\beta [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] b_j < 1 - \alpha$$

We know that $f(z) * g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j$.

From $g(z) \in T$, by using Theorem A, we have $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j b_j \leq 1$. We notice that $b_j < 1$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j b_j \\ & < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta} [\delta\{(j-1)\lambda + \mu\lambda - \mu\lambda j\} - \{\alpha + \alpha\mu j - \alpha\mu - 1 - (j-1)\lambda\}] a_j \\ & < 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(z) * g(z) \in TS_p^{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Acu, *On some analytic functions with negative coefficients*, General Mathematics, 15(2-3) (2007), 190–200.
- [2] M. Acu and S. Owa, *Note on a class of starlike functions*, Proceeding of the International Short Joint Work on Study on Calculus Operators in Univalent Function Theory, Kyoto (2006), 1–10.
- [3] F.M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Ind. J. Math. Sci., (25-28) (2004), 1429–1436.
- [4] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, *On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions*, Tamk. J. Math., 28(1) (1997), 17–32.
- [5] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 24 (2011), 494–500.
- [6] G.S. Salagean, *Geometria Planului Complex*, Ed. Promedia Plus, Cluj-Napoca, (1999).
- [7] A. Schild and H. Silverman, *Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Uni. Marie-Curie-Sk10, SecA, 29 (1975), 99–107.
- [8] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51(1) (1975), 109–116.
- [9] K.G. Subramanian, T.V. Sudharsan, P. Balasubrahmanyam and H. Silverman, *Classes of uniformly starlike functions*, Publ. Math, Debrecen, 53(3-4) (1998), 309–315.

[10] T.V. Sudharsan, R. Thirumalaisamy, K.G. Subramanian and Mugur Acu,
A class of analytic functions based on an extension of Al-Oboudi operator, Acta
Universitatis Apulensis, 21 (2010), 79–88.

S.P. Vijayalakshmi

Department of Mathematics

Ethiraj College, Chennai - 600 008, India

email:*vijishreekanth@gmail.com*

T.V. Sudharsan

Department of Mathematics

SIVET College, Chennai - 600 073, India

email:*tvsudharsan@rediffmail.com*

B. Adolf Stephen

Department of Mathematics

Madras Christian College, Chennai - 600 059, India

email:*adolfmcc2003@yahoo.co.in*

M. A. Acu

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science

University “Lucian Blaga” of Sibiu

Str. I. Ratiu, no. 5-7, 550012 - Sibiu, Romania

email:*acu_mugur@yahoo.com*