

GROUPS, COMPLETE ℓ -GROUPOIDS, AND COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

ALI MOLKHASI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, R is a commutative ring with an identity, $L(R)$ is the lattice of ideals of R , and $L(G)$ is the lattice of all subgroups of a finite group G . It is shown that if $L(R)$ is a principal lattice, P is cl-groupoid with zero, and G is a finite cyclic group, then $R[P]$ and $R[L(G)]$ are Cohen-Macaulay rings.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11T06, 06E05, 06B23, 06C15.

1. INTRODUCTION

The basic concept of abstract commutative ideal theory is the concept of Noether lattice which was introduced by R. P. Dilworth [3] as an abstraction of the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring. Recall in [3], a multiplicative lattice is a complete lattice L with a commutative, associative multiplication which distributes over arbitrary joins and such that the largest element I of L is the identity for the multiplication. Basically, an element E of a multiplicative lattice L is said to be meet (join-) principal if $(A \wedge (B : E))E = (AE) \wedge B$ (if $(BE \vee A) : E = B \vee (A : E)$) for all A and B in L . A principal element is an element that is both meet-principal and join-principal. L is called principal lattice when each of its elements is principal. Cohen-Macaulay property is one of the most important notion in the commutative algebra. Local ring R is said Cohen-Macaulay when so is R as an R -module. A Noetherian ring (which may not be local) R is said to be Cohen-Macaulay when its localization at any maximal ideal is Cohen-Macaulay local. In Section 2, relationship Brouwerian lattice, po-groupoid, and Cohen-Macaulay ring is considered. In end section it is shown that, if $L(R)$ is principal lattice and G is a finite cyclic group, then $R[L(G)]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

2. RESIDUATION AND BROUWERIAN LATTICE AND COHEN-MACAULAY

A number of papers are devoted to the subject of guaranteeing the distributivity of lattice by imposing equations on a fixed generating set. A lattice L is distributive when it satisfies $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. In a bounded distributive lattice, a is a complement of b iff $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b = 1$. Let $a \in [b, c]$; x is a relative complement of a in $[b, c]$ iff $a \wedge x = b$ and $a \vee x = c$. A complement lattice is a bounded lattice in which every element has a complement. A relatively complemented lattice is a lattice in which every element has a relative complement in any interval containing it. A Boolean lattice is a complemented distributive lattice. A Boolean algebra is Boolean lattice in which $0, 1$, and $'$ are considered to be operation. In any Boolean algebra A , a' is the largest element x such that $x \wedge a = 0$. More generally, $a \wedge x \leq b$ if and only if $a \wedge x \wedge b' = 0$, that is $(a \wedge b') \wedge x = 0$ or $x \leq (a \wedge b')' = b \vee a'$. Hence, given $a, b \in A$, there exists a largest element $c = b \vee a'$ such that $a \wedge c \leq b$. Brouwer and Heyting characterized an important generalization of Boolean algebra through an extension of the preceding property.

More generally, let L be a lattice with 0 ; an element a^* is a pseudocomplement of a ($\in L$) iff $a \wedge a^* = 0$, and $a \wedge x = 0$ implies that $x \leq a^*$.

Definition 2.1. A Brouwerian lattice is a lattice L in which, for any given elements a and b , the set of all $x \in L$ such that $a \wedge x \leq b$ contains a greatest element $b : a$, the relative pseudo-complement of a in b .

Theorem 2.1. Any Brouwerian lattice is distributive.

Proof. Given a, b, c form $d = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$, and consider $d : a$. Since $a \wedge b \leq d$ and $a \wedge c \leq d$, we have $b \leq d : a$ and $c \leq d : a$. Hence $b \wedge c \leq d : a$, and so $a \wedge (b \vee c) \leq a \wedge (d : a) \leq d = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$.

Definition 2.2. A *po-groupoid* (or *m-poset*) is a poset M with a binary multiplication which satisfies the isotonicity condition $a \leq b$ implies $xa \leq xb$ and $ax \leq bx$ for all $a, b, x \in M$.

Example 2.1. In any ring R , the additive subgroups X, Y, Z, \dots form an *m-poset* with zero under inclusion, if XY is defined as the set of all finite sums $\sum x_i y_i$ ($x_i \in X, y_i \in Y$).

Definition 2.3. If M is a lattice with a multiplication and $a(b \vee c) = ab \vee ac$, $(a \vee b)c = ac \vee bc$, for all $a, b, c \in M$ holds, then M is called an *m-lattice* or *ℓ -groupoid*.

One of the most important concepts in the theory of ℓ -groupoids is that of residual, defined as follows.

Example 2.2. In any ring R , the two-sided ideals form a residuated lattice, under the multiplication of Example 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. *A lattice L is a residuated lattice, when xy is defined as $x \wedge y$, if and only if it is a Brouwerian lattice.*

Most residuated lattices arising in applications are complete, and satisfy the infinite distributive laws $a(\vee b_\beta) = \vee(ab_\beta)$ and $(a_\alpha)b = \vee(a_\alpha b)$. A lattice L is called complete if $\sup H$ and $\inf H$ exists for all $H \subseteq P$. This leads us to make the following definition.

Definition 2.4. A complete ℓ -groupoid, or *cl-groupoid*, is a complete lattice with a binary multiplication satisfying $a(\vee b_\beta) = \vee(ab_\beta)$ and $(a_\alpha)b = \vee(a_\alpha b)$. A cl-groupoid with associative multiplication is called a *cl-semigroup*, if it has a 1, it is called a *cl-monoid*.

The modules of a ring (Example 2.1, 2.2) constitute a typical cl-groupoid; $a(\vee b_\beta) = \vee(ab_\beta)$ and $(a_\alpha)b = \vee(a_\alpha b)$ follows from the fact that the operations involved are finitely(binary); we omit the verification. An ℓ -groupoid is not just a *po-groupoid* which is lattice under its partial ordering relation: products must also be distributive on joins.

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a commutative ring with an identity such that $L(R)$ be a principal lattice. If P is cl-groupoid with zero, then $R[P]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. If $L(R)$ is a principal lattice, then R is a Noetherian multiplication ring. So the ring R is Cohen-Macaulay ring (see [5], [4] and [6]). On the other hand, if R is Cohen-Macaulay ring and P is a distributive lattice, then $R[P]$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see [2]). In particular, any cl-groupoid with zero is residuated. Thus, it is a Brouwerian lattice. Completing the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1.

3.SUBGROUP LATTICES AND COHEN-MACAULAY

Note that, a ring is called a multiplication ring, if every ideal of R is product of two ideals. Let M be a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring R . We say that $x \in R$ is an M -regular element, if $xg = 0$ for $g \in M$ implies $g = 0$, in the

other words, if x is not a zero-divisor on M . A sequence x_1, \dots, x_r of elements of the ring R , is called an M -regular sequence or simply an M -sequence if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. x_i is an $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ -regular element for $i = 1, \dots, r$;
2. $M/(x_1, \dots, x_r)M \neq 0$.

Suppose $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal with $IM \neq M$. The *depth* of I on M is maximal length of an M -regular sequence in I , denoted by $\text{depth}(I, M)$. If R is a local ring with a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we write, $\text{depth}(\mathfrak{m})$, for $\text{depth}(\mathfrak{m}, M)$. Let R be a Noetherian local ring. A finitely generated R -module M , is a Cohen-Macaulay module, if $\text{depth}(M) = \dim(M)$. If R itself is a Cohen-Macaulay module, then it is called a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Let Σ consist of the subgroups of any group G , and let \leq mean set-inclusion. Then Σ is a complete lattice, with $H \wedge K = H \cap K$ (set-intersection), and $H \vee K$ the least subgroup in Σ containing H and K (which is not their set-theoretical union). We now turn our attention to the lattice $L(G)$ of all subgroups of G .

Theorem 3.1. The lattice $L(G)$ of all subgroups of a finite group is distributive if and only if G is cyclic.

Proof. (\implies) Let Z_r be a finite cyclic group of order r , with generator a . Then [1] every subgroup of Z_r is cyclic, with generator a^s for some $s|r$. Hence the lattice of positive integers, under the relation $m|n$. This shows that the lattice of all subgroups of any finite cyclic group is distributive.

(\impliedby) In group G , let A, B, C be cyclic subgroups generated by a, b , and $c = ab$, respectively. If $(A \vee B) \wedge C = (A \wedge C) \wedge (B \wedge C)$, then $ab = ba$. Hence, if $L(G)$ is distributive, then G must be the direct product of cyclic groups of prime-power order $q_1 = p^{k_1}, \dots, q_r = p^{k_r}$; with generators a_1, \dots, a_r . If two were equal, then G would contain two elements $b_i = a_i^{q_i/p}$ and $b_j = a_j^{q_i/p}$, $p = p_i = p_j$. These would generate an elementary Abelian group whose subgroup-lattice was not distributive. Hence, the p_i are all distinct; but in this case, $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_r$ is of order $q_1 q_2 \dots q_r$, and generates G , which is therefore cyclic.

Corollary 3.1. If R is Cohen-Macaulay ring and G is a finite cyclic group, then $R[L(G)]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

REFERENCES

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff and S. Mac Lane, A survey of modern algebra, 3d ed., Macmillan, (1965).
- [2] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud and D. Procesi, (hodge algebras), asterisque, societe mathematique de france, **91** (1982).
- [3] R. P. Dilworth, Abstract commutative ideal theory, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 481-498.
- [4] M. F. Janowitz, Principal mutiplicative lattices, Pacific J. Math., **33** (1970), 653-656.
- [5] A. Molkhasi, Polynomials, α -ideals and the principal lattice, Journal SFU, issue **3** (2011).
- [6] R. Naghipour, Locally unmixed modules and ideal topologies, J. Algebra., **236** (2001), 768-777.

Ali Molkhasi

Department of Mathematics University for Teachers of Iran, Tabriz

and

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, Baku

Address

email : *molkhasi@gmail.com*