

CERTAIN STRONG DIFFERENTIAL SUPERORDINATIONS USING SĂLĂGEAN AND RUSCHEWEYH OPERATORS

ALB LUPAȘ ALINA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we establish several strong differential superordinations regarding the new operator SR^m defined by convolution product of the extended Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, $SR^m : \mathcal{A}_\zeta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $SR^m f(z, \zeta) = (S^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $R^m f(z, \zeta)$ denote the extended Ruscheweyh derivative, $S^m f(z, \zeta)$ is the extended Sălăgean operator and $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\}$, with $\mathcal{A}_{1\zeta}^* = \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, is the class of normalized analytic functions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

Keywords: strong differential superordination, convex function, best subordinant, extended differential operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed unit disc of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U})$ the class of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{1\zeta}^* = \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, where $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq 2$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = a + a_n(\zeta)z^n + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n$.

Denote by

$$K_{n\zeta} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf_z''(z, \zeta)}{f_z'(z, \zeta)} + 1 > 0\}$$

the class of convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$.

We also extend the known differential operators to the new class of analytic functions \mathcal{A}_ζ^* introduced in [5].

Definition No. 1 [1] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the extended operator S^m is defined by $S^m : \mathcal{A}_\zeta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta), \\ S^1 f(z, \zeta) &= z f'_z(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ S^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= z (S^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark No. 1 [1] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $S^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^\infty j^m a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Definition No. 2 [1] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the extended operator R^m is defined by $R^m : \mathcal{A}_\zeta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta), \\ R^1 f(z, \zeta) &= z f'_z(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ (m+1) R^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= z (R^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + m R^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark No. 2 [1] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $R^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

As a dual notion of strong differential subordination G.I. Oros has introduced and developed the notion of strong differential superordinations in [4].

Definition No. 3 [4] Let $f(z, \zeta), H(z, \zeta)$ analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \zeta)$ is said to be strongly superordinate to $H(z, \zeta)$ if there exists a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $H(z, \zeta) = f(w(z), \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. In such a case we write $H(z, \zeta) \prec\prec f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark No. 3 [4] (i) Since $f(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and univalent in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, Definition 3 is equivalent to $H(0, \zeta) = f(0, \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and $H(U \times \bar{U}) \subset f(U \times \bar{U})$.

(ii) If $H(z, \zeta) \equiv H(z)$ and $f(z, \zeta) \equiv f(z)$, the strong superordination becomes the usual notion of superordination.

Definition No. 4 [3] We denote by Q^* the set of functions that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$, where $E(f, \zeta) = \{y \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow y} f(z, \zeta) = \infty\}$, and are such that $f'_z(y, \zeta) \neq 0$ for $y \in \partial U \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$. The subclass of Q^* for which $f(0, \zeta) = a$ is denoted by $Q^*(a)$.

We have need the following lemmas to study the strong differential superordinations.

Lemma No. 1 [3] Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function with $h(0, \zeta) = a$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number with $Re \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'_z(z, \zeta)$

is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and is the best subordinant.

Lemma No. 2 [3] Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z q'_z(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $\text{Re } \gamma \geq 0$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is the best subordinant.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition No. 5 [2] Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by SR^m the operator given by the Hadamard product (the convolution product) of the extended Sălăgean operator S^m and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^m , $SR^m : \mathcal{A}_\zeta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$,

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = (S^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta).$$

Remark No. 4 [2] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $SR^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Theorem No. 1 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Re } c > -2$, and suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (1)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{c+1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. We have

$$z^{c+1} F(z, \zeta) = (c+2) \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$$

and differentiating it, with respect to z , we obtain $(c+1)F(z, \zeta) + zF'_z(z, \zeta) = (c+2)f(z, \zeta)$ and

$$(c+1)SR^m F(z, \zeta) + z(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z = (c+2)SR^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Differentiating the last relation with respect to z we have

$$(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z(SR^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2} = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (2)$$

Using (2), the strong differential superordination (1) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z(SR^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2}. \quad (3)$$

Denote

$$p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (4)$$

Replacing (4) in (3) we obtain

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{c+1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary No. 1 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$, where $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $Rec > -2$, and suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (5)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{c+1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 1 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential subordination (5) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = c + 2$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{c+1} dt = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} t^{c+1} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{c+1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Theorem No. 2 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $Re c > -2$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{c+1} dt$. The function q is the best subdominant.

Proof. We obtain that

$$z^{c+1} F(z, \zeta) = (c+2) \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt. \quad (7)$$

Differentiating (7), with respect to z , we have $(c+1)F(z, \zeta) + zF'_z(z, \zeta) = (c+2)f(z, \zeta)$ and

$$(c+1)SR^m F(z, \zeta) + z(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z = (c+2)SR^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (8)$$

Differentiating (8) with respect to z we have

$$(SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (SR^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2} = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (9)$$

Using (9), the strong differential superordination (6) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (SR^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2}. \quad (10)$$

Denote

$$p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (11)$$

Replacing (11) in (10) we obtain

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+2}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{c+1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinator.

Theorem No. 3 *Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap \mathcal{Q}^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (12)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Proof. Consider

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}.$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta]$.

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + z p'_z(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$.

Then (12) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Corollary No. 2 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (13)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{z} \ln(1+z)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 3 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}$, the strong differential subordination (13) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{z} \ln(1 + z) \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Theorem No. 4 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, suppose that $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential subordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (14)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is the best subordinator.

Proof. Let

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}.$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta]$.

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (14) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt \prec\prec \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 5 *Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (15)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Consider

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} = \frac{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}.$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta]$.

We have $p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{(SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta))'_z}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} - p(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}$ and $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$.

Then (15) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Corollary No. 3 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (16)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{z} \ln(1+z)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 5 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}$, the strong differential superordination (16) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{z} \ln(1 + z) \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Theorem No. 6 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, suppose that $\left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is

univalent, $\frac{SR^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{SR^m f(z)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (17)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt$. The function q is the best subordinator.

Proof. Let

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} = \frac{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}.$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta]$.

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \right)'_z$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (17) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) dt \prec\prec \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinator.

Theorem No. 7 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $\frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent and $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (18)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^m dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Proof. With notation $p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$ and $p(0, \zeta) = 1$, we obtain for $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)$. Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta]$.

Then (18) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = m + 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^m dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary No. 4 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$ and suppose that $\frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent and $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (19)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(1+\zeta-2\beta)(m+1)}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z \frac{t^m}{1+t} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 7 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (19) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = m + 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^m dt = \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z t^m \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(1 + \zeta - 2\beta)(m+1)}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z \frac{t^m}{1+t} dt \prec\prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 8 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z q'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta^*$, suppose that $\frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)$

is univalent, $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, 1, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec \prec \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (20)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec \prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))', \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^m dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let $p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$.

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (20) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec \prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{m+1} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $n = 1$ and $\gamma = m + 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec \prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m+1}{z^{m+1}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^m dt \prec \prec (SR^m f(z, \zeta))', \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alb Lupaş, G.I. Oros, Gh. Oros, *On special strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2012, 266-270.
- [2] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Libertas Mathematica, tomus XXXI (2011), 15-21.
- [3] A. Alb Lupaş, *On special strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Archimedes Journal of Mathematics, 1(2011) (to appear).
- [4] G.I. Oros, *Strong differential superordination*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Nr. 19, 2009, 101-106.
- [5] G.I. Oros, *On a new strong differential subordination*, (to appear).

Alb Lupaş Alina
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Oradea
 str. Universităţii nr. 1, 410087, Oradea, Romania
 email: dalb@uoradea.ro