

**CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT  
FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED SALAGEAN  
OPERATOR**

ELIF YASAR AND SIBEL YALCIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions, distortion bounds, extreme points and convex combination of a new subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by a generalization of modified Salagean operator.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  denote the family of continuous complex valued harmonic functions which are harmonic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $A$  be the subclass of  $H$  consisting of functions which are analytic in  $U$ . A function harmonic in  $U$  may be written as  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are members of  $A$ . We call  $h$  the analytic part and  $g$  the co-analytic part of  $f$ . In this case,  $f$  is sense-preserving if  $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$  in  $U$ . See [4].

Let  $SH$  denote the family of functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  which are harmonic, univalent, and sense-preserving in  $U$  for which  $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$ .

To this end, without loss of generality, we may write

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1)$$

Note that  $SH$  reduces to the class  $S$  of normalized analytic univalent functions in  $U$  if the co-analytic part of  $f$  is identically zero.

Let  $SH_j$  denote the class of all functions  $f = h + \bar{g} \in SH$  such that  $h$  and  $g$  has the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (2)$$

where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Clearly,  $SH := SH_1$ .

In 1984 Clunie and Sheil-Small [4], investigated the class  $SH$  as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there has been several related papers on  $SH$  and its subclasses such as Avcı and Zlotkiewicz [1], Silverman [9], Silverman and Silvia [10], Jahangiri [5] studied the harmonic univalent functions. More recently, Ahuja et al. [2] investigated the convolutions of special harmonic univalent functions and Ahuja [3] studied on connections between harmonic mappings and hypergeometric functions.

The differential operator  $D^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) was introduced by Salagean [8]. For  $f = h + \bar{g}$  given by (1), Jahangiri et al. [6] defined the modified Salagean operator of  $f$  as

$$D^n f(z) = D^n h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{D^n g(z)},$$

where

$$D^n h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad D^n g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n b_k z^k.$$

For  $f = h + \bar{g}$  given by (1), we defined [12] generalization of the modified Salagean operator of  $f$  :

$$D_\lambda^0 f(z) = D^0 f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)},$$

$$D_\lambda^1 f(z) = (1 - \lambda)D^0 f(z) + \lambda D^1 f(z), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = D_\lambda^1 (D_\lambda^{n-1} f(z)). \tag{4}$$

If  $f$  is given by (1) , then from (3) and (4) we see that

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n \overline{b_k z^k}. \tag{5}$$

When  $\lambda = 1$ , we get modified Salagean differential operator [6]. If we take the co-analytic part of  $f = h + \bar{g}$  of the form (1) is identically zero,  $D_\lambda^n f$  reduces to the Al-Oboudi operator [7].

Denote by  $SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  the subclass of  $SH$  consisting of functions  $f$  of the form (2) that satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{D_\lambda^{m+n} f(z)}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} \right) \geq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{6}$$

where  $D_\lambda^n f(z)$  is defined by (5) and

$$D_\lambda^{m+n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} a_k z^k + (-1)^{m+n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} \overline{b_k z^k}.$$

We let the subclass  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  consisting of harmonic functions  $f_{m,n} = h + \overline{g}_{m,n}$  in  $SH$  so that  $h$  and  $g_{m,n}$  are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g_{m,n}(z) = (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad a_k, b_k \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

By suitably specializing the parametres, the classes  $SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  reduces to the various subclasses of harmonic univalent functions. Such as,

- (i)  $SH_1(1, 1, 0, 0) = SH^*(0)$  ([1], [9], [10]),
- (ii)  $SH_1(1, 1, 0, \alpha) = SH^*(\alpha)$  ([5]),
- (iii)  $SH_1(1, 1, 1, 0) = KH(0)$  ([1], [9], [10]),
- (iv)  $SH_1(1, 1, 1, \alpha) = KH(\alpha)$  ([5]),
- (v)  $SH_1(1, 1, n, \alpha) = H(n, \alpha)$  ([6]),
- (vi)  $SH_1(1, m - n, n, \alpha) = S_H(m, n, \alpha)$  ([11]).

The object of the present paper is to investigate the various properties of harmonic univalent functions belonging to the subclasses  $SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  and  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ . We extend the results of [6] by generalizing the operator and we also extend the results of previous talk of authors [12]. Necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions, distortion bounds, extreme points and convex combination of above mentioned class are derived.

## 2.MAIN RESULTS

In our first theorem, we introduce a sufficient coefficient condition for harmonic functions in  $SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f = h + \overline{g}$  be so that  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (2). Furthermore, let*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n) |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n) |b_k| \leq 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $f$  is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in  $U$  and  $f \in SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* If  $z_1 \neq z_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} b_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |a_k|} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves univalence. Note that  $f$  is sense preserving in  $U$ . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| |z|^{k-1} \\ &> 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |b_k| \\ &> \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} k |b_k| |z|^{k-1} \geq |g'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \alpha$  if and only if  $|1 - \alpha + w| \geq |1 + \alpha - w|$ , it suffices to show that

$$|(1 - \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) + D_{\lambda}^{m+n} f(z)| - |(1 + \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) - D_{\lambda}^{m+n} f(z)| \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Substituting for  $D_\lambda^{m+n}f(z)$  and  $D_\lambda^n f(z)$  in (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(1-\alpha)D_\lambda^n f(z) + D_\lambda^{m+n}f(z)| - |(1+\alpha)D_\lambda^n f(z) - D_\lambda^{m+n}f(z)| \\
 \geq & 2(1-\alpha)|z| - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1)+1]^n(1-\alpha) + [\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n})|a_k||z|^k \\
 & - \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} |[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n(1-\alpha) + (-1)^m[\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n}||b_k||z|^k \\
 & - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - (1+\alpha)[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)|a_k||z|^k \\
 & - \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} |(-1)^m[\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (1+\alpha)[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n||b_k||z|^k \\
 = & \begin{cases} 2(1-\alpha)|z| - 2\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)|a_k||z|^k \\ \quad - 2\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} + \alpha[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)|b_k||z|^k, & m \text{ is odd} \\ 2(1-\alpha)|z| - 2\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)|a_k||z|^k \\ \quad - 2\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)|b_k||z|^k, & m \text{ is even} \end{cases} \\
 \geq & 2(1-\alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |a_k||z|^{k-1} \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m\alpha[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |b_k||z|^{k-1} \right\} \\
 > & 2(1-\alpha) \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |a_k| \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m\alpha[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} |b_k| \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is non-negative by (8), and so the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_{m,n} = h + \bar{g}_{m,n}$  be given by (7). Then  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n) a_k \tag{10}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n) b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

where  $\lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

*Proof.* The "if" part follows from Theorem 1 upon noting that  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha) \subset SH_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ . For the "only if" part, we show that  $f_{m,n} \notin \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  if the condition (10) does not hold.

Note that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f_{m,n} = h + \bar{g}_{m,n}$  given by (7), to be in  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  is that the condition (6) to be satisfied. This is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha)z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n) a_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + (-1)^{m+2n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k \bar{z}^k} - \frac{\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n) b_k \bar{z}^k}{z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + (-1)^{m+2n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k \bar{z}^k} \right\} \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

The above condition must hold for all values of  $z, |z| = r < 1$ . Upon choosing the values of  $z$  on the positive real axis where  $0 \leq z = r < 1$  we must have

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n) a_k r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k r^{k-1} - (-1)^m \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k r^{k-1}} - \frac{\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n) b_k r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k r^{k-1} - (-1)^m \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k r^{k-1}} \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

If the condition (10) does not hold, then the numerator in (12) is negative for  $r$  sufficiently close to 1. Hence there exist  $z_0 = r_0$  in  $(0, 1)$  for which the quotient in

(12) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  and so the proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f_{m,n}$  be given by (7). Then  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  if and only if

$$f_{m,n}(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_{m,n_k}(z)),$$

where  $h_j(z) = z,$

$$h_k(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)} z^k \quad (k = j+1, j+2, \dots),$$

$$g_{m,n_k}(z) = z + (-1)^{m+n-1} \frac{1-\alpha}{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)} \bar{z}^k$$

$$(k = j, j+1, j+2, \dots),$$

$$\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) = 1, \quad X_k \geq 0, \quad Y_k \geq 0.$$

In particular, the extreme points of  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  are  $\{h_k\}$  and  $\{g_{m,n_k}\}$ .

*Proof.* For functions  $f_{m,n}$  of the form (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m,n}(z) &= \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_{m,n_k}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)} X_k z^k \\ &\quad + (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)} Y_k \bar{z}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)} X_k \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n)} Y_k \right) \\ & = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} Y_k = 1 - X_j \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ .

Conversely, if  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ , then

$$a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n)}$$

and

$$b_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n)}.$$

Set

$$X_k = \frac{([\lambda(k-1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n)}{1 - \alpha} a_k, \quad (k = j+1, j+2, \dots)$$

$$Y_k = \frac{([\lambda(k+1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n)}{1 - \alpha} b_k, \quad (k = j, j+1, j+2, \dots)$$

and

$$X_j = 1 - \left( \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} Y_k \right)$$

where  $X_j \geq 0$ . Then, as required, we obtain

$$f_{m,n}(z) = X_j z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} X_k h_k(z) + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} Y_k g_k(z).$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ . Then for  $|z| = r < 1$  we have  $|f_{m,n}(z)| \leq (1 + b_j) r + \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\lambda j+1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j+1)^n} - \frac{[\lambda(j+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(j+1)-1]^n}{(\lambda j+1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j+1)^n} b_j \right) r^{j+1}$ , and

$$|f_n(z)| \geq (1 - b_j) r - \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\lambda j+1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j+1)^n} - \frac{[\lambda(j+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(j+1)-1]^n}{(\lambda j+1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j+1)^n} b_j \right) r^{j+1}.$$

*Proof.* We only prove the right hand inequality. The proof for the left hand inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ . Taking the



absolute value of  $f_{m,n}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_{m,n}(z)| &\leq (1 + b_j)r + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k)r^k \\
 &\leq (1 + b_j)r + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k)r^{j+1} \\
 &= (1 + b_j)r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n}{1 - \alpha} (a_k + b_k)r^{j+1} \\
 &\leq (1 + b_j)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r^{j+1}}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{([\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^{m+n} - \alpha[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n)}{1 - \alpha} a_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{([\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha[\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n)}{1 - \alpha} b_k \right) \\
 &\leq (1 + b_j)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r^{j+1}}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} \\
 &\quad \times \left( 1 - \frac{[\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha[\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^n}{1 - \alpha} b_j \right) \\
 &= (1 + b_j)r \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} - \frac{[\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha[\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^n}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} b_j \right) r^{j+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following covering result follows from the left hand inequality in Theorem 4.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $f_{m,n}$  of the form (7) be so that  $f_{m,n} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n + 1 - \alpha}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n - [\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^{m+n} + (-1)^n \alpha[\lambda(j + 1) - 1]^n}{(\lambda j + 1)^{m+n} - \alpha(\lambda j + 1)^n} b_j \right\} \\
 &\quad \subset f_{m,n}(U).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** *The class  $\overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$  is closed under convex combinations.*

*Proof.* for  $i \geq j$ , let  $f_{m,n_i} \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ , where  $f_{m,n_i}$  is given by

$$f_{m,n_i}(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k_i} z^k + (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} b_{k_i} \bar{z}^k.$$

Then by (10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} a_{k_i} \\ & + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} b_{k_i} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For  $\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i = 1$ ,  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ , the convex combination of  $f_{m,n_i}$  may be written as

$$\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i f_{m,n_i}(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i a_{k_i} \right) z^k + (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i b_{k_i} \right) \bar{z}^k.$$

Then by (13),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i a_{k_i} \right) \\ & + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i b_{k_i} \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i \left( \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k-1)+1]^{m+n} - \alpha [\lambda(k-1)+1]^n)}{1-\alpha} a_{k_i} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{([\lambda(k+1)-1]^{m+n} - (-1)^m \alpha [\lambda(k+1)-1]^n)}{1-\alpha} b_{k_i} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is the condition required by (10) and so  $\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_i f_{m,n_i}(z) \in \overline{SH}_j(\lambda, m, n, \alpha)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Avci and E. Zlotkiewicz, *On harmonic univalent mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sect. A, 44, (1990),1-7.
- [2] O. P. Ahuja, J. M. Jahangiri, H. Silverman, *Convolutions for special classes of harmonic univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 6, (2003), 905-909.
- [3] O. P. Ahuja, *Connections between various subclasses of planar harmonic mappings involving hypergeometric functions*, Appl. Math. Comp., Vol. 198, Issue 1, (2008), 305-316.
- [4] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I Math., 9, (1984), 3-25.
- [5] J. M. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 235, (1999), 470-477.
- [6] J. M. Jahangiri, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, *Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions*, South J. Pure Appl. Math., 2, (2002), 77-82.
- [7] F.M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, IJMMS, 27, (2004), 1429-1436.
- [8] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1013, (1983), 362-372.
- [9] H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 220, (1998), 283-289.
- [10] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, N. Z. J. Math., 28, (1999), 275-284.
- [11] S. Yalçın, *A new class of Salagean-type Harmonic univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., Vol. 18, Issue 2, (2005), 191-198.
- [12] E. Yasar, S. Yalçın, *On a class of harmonic univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, *International Congress In Honour Of Professor H.M. Srivastava On His 70th Birth Anniversary*, Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey, 2010.

Elif Yasar and Sibel Yalcin  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Uludag  
 16059 Bursa, Turkey.  
 email: [elifyasar@uludag.edu.tr](mailto:elifyasar@uludag.edu.tr), [syalcin@uludag.edu.tr](mailto:syalcin@uludag.edu.tr)