

SPACES OF STRONGLY ALMOST SUMMABLE DIFFERENCE SEQUENCES

VAKEEL A. KHAN

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of $\Delta_{v\lambda}^m$ strongly almost convergence with respect to a sequence of moduli and $\Delta_{v\lambda}^m$ - Almost Statistical Convergence and give some relations between these two kinds of convergence.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

Keywords: Difference sequence space, de la Vallee-Poussin, statistical convergence, Sequence of moduli.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ω denote the set of all real sequences $x = (x_k)$. Let l_∞ , c and c_0 be the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ normed by as usual by $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$. Kizmaz [19] defined the sequence spaces :

$$l_\infty(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in l_\infty\},$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in c\},$$

and

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in c_0\},$$

where $\Delta x_k = (x_k - x_{k+1})$. These are Banach spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \|x\| + \|\Delta x\|_\infty.$$

Difference sequence spaces have been studied by Colak and Et [1], Et [6,7], Et and Esi [8], Vakeel A. Khan [14,15,16,17] and many others.

A sequence $x \in l_\infty$ is said to be almost convergent [23] if all Banach limits of x coincide. Lorentz [23] defined that

$$[c^\wedge] = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k+j} \text{ exists, uniformly in } j \right\}.$$

Many authors including Lorentz [23], Duran [4], and King [18] have studied almost convergent sequence spaces. Maddox [24,26] has defined x to be strongly almost convergent to a number L if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{k+j} - L| = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } j.$$

By $[c^\wedge]$, we denote the space of all strongly almost convergent sequences. It is easy to see that

$$c \subset [c^\wedge] \subset c^\wedge \subset l_\infty.$$

The space of strongly almost convergent sequences was generalized by Nanda [27,28].

Let $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Nanda [27] defined

$$[c^\wedge, p] = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{k+j} - L|^{p_k} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } j \right\},$$

$$[c^\wedge, p]_0 = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{k+j}|^{p_k} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } j \right\},$$

$$[c^\wedge, p]_\infty = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_{n,j} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{k+j}|^{p_k} < \infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } j \right\}.$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non decreasing sequence of positive reals tending to infinity and $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$. The generalized de la Vallee - Poussin means is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) - summable to a number l (see [22]) if $t_n(x) \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We write

$$[V, \lambda]^0 = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_i| = 0 \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda] = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_i - le| = 0, \text{ for some } l \in \mathcal{C} \right\},$$

and

$$[V, \lambda]^\infty = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_i| < \infty \right\},$$

For the sets of sequences that are strongly summable to zero, strongly summable and strongly bounded by the de la Vallee - poussin method. In the special case when $\lambda_n = n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ the sets $[V, \lambda]^0$, $[V, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]^\infty$ reduce the sets w_0 , w and w_∞ introduced and studied by Maddox [25].

The concept of statistical convergence was first introduced by Fast [9] and also Schoenberg [31] for real and complex sequences. Further this concept was studied by Salat [30], Fridy [11], Fridy and C.Orhan [12], Connor [2], Connor, Fridy, and Kline [3], and many others.

Let \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{C} be the set of natural numbers and complex numbers, respectively. If $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then the natural density of E (see Freedman and Sember [10]) is denoted by

$$\delta(E) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in E\}|,$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set. The sequence x is said to be statistically convergent to L , denoted by $stat - \lim x = L$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{k : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon, \}$$

has natural density zero. In this case we write $stat - \lim x_k = L$.

Let $X, Y \subset \ell^0$. Then we shall write

$$M(X, Y) = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^{-1} * Y = \{a \in \ell^0 : ax \in Y \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

The set

$$X^\alpha = M(X, l_1)$$

is called Köthe - Toeplitz dual space or α - dual of X (see [8]).

Let X be a sequence space . Then X is called

(i) Solid (or normal), if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$, whenever $(x_k) \in X$ for all sequences of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Symmetric, if $(x_k) \in X$ implies $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in X$, where $\pi(k)$ is a permutation of \mathbb{N} .

(iii) Perfect if $X = X^{\alpha\alpha}$.

(iv) Sequence algebra if $x.y \in X$, whenever $x, y \in X$.

It is well known that if X is perfect then X is normal (see [13]).

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modular if

1. $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,
2. $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$,
3. f is increasing, and
4. f is continuous from the right of 0.

Let X be a sequence space. Then the sequence space $X(f)$ is defined as

$$X(f) = \{x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X\}$$

for a modulus f ([25],[29]). Kolk[20],[21] gave an extension of $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ i.e.

$$X(F) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X\}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli, $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $v = (v_k)$ be any fixed sequence of non zero complex numbers and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed(see [5]). This assumption is made throughout the rest of this paper. Now we define the following sequence spaces :

$$[V, \Delta_v^m, F, p] = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{uniformly in } j, \text{ for some } L > 0, \right.$$

$$\left. [V, \Delta_v^m, F, p]^0 = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j}|)]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } j \right\}, \right.$$

$$[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j}|)]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ uniformly in } j \right\},$$

where

$$\Delta_v^0 x_k = (v_k x_k), \quad \Delta_v x_k = (v_k x_k - v_{k+1} x_{k+1}), \quad \Delta_v^m x_k = (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1})$$

. and so that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} v_{k+i} x_{k+i}.$$

$\Delta_{v\lambda}^m$ - Almost Statistical Convergence

We define the following definition :

Definition . A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be $\Delta_{v\lambda}^m$ - almost statistically convergent to the number L provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta_{v\lambda}^m x_{k+j}(x) - L| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } j.$$

In this case we write $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) - \lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow LS(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$ and In the case $\lambda_n = n$ we shall write $S(\Delta_v^m)$ instead of $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli, then $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$, $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0$ and $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 2.2. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli, then

$$[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0 \subset [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p] \subset [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty.$$

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 2.3. The sequence spaces $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$, $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0$ and $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ are not solid for $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $p_k = 1$ for all k , $F(x) = x$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x_k) = (k^r) \in [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ but $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ when $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ is not solid. The other cases can be proved by considering similar examples.

Corollary 1. The sequence spaces $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$, $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0$ and $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$

are not perfect for $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 2.4. The sequence spaces $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$, $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0$ and $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$ are not symmetric for $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $p_k = 1$ for all k , $F(x) = x$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x_k) = (k^r) \in [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$. Let (y_k) be a rearrangement of (x_k) , which is defined as follows :

$$(y_k) = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, x_{36}, x_8, x_{49}, x_{10}, \dots\}.$$

Then $(y_k) \notin [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^\infty$.

Remark 1. The space $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]^0$ is not symmetric for $m \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.5. The sequence spaces $[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]_z$, where z will denote any one of the notion 0, 1 or ∞ are not sequence algebras.

Proof. Let $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $F(x) = x$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x = (k^{m-2})$, $y = (k^{m-2}) \in [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]_z$, but $x, y \notin [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]_z$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non - decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ , then

- (i) If $x_k \rightarrow L[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p] \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow LS(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$,
- (ii) If $x \in l_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ and $x_k \rightarrow LS(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$, then $x_k \rightarrow L[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$,
- (iii) $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) \cap l_\infty(\Delta_v^m) = [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p] \cap l_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli, and $\sup_k(p_k) = H$. Then

$$[V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p] \subset S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m).$$

Proof. Let $x \in [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let \sum_1 denote the sum over $k \leq n$ such that $|\Delta_v^m x_{k+m} - L| \geq \epsilon$ and \sum_2 denote the sum over $k \leq n$ such that $|\Delta_v^m x_{k+m} - L| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_2 [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 [f_k(\epsilon)]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 \min([f_k(\epsilon)]^{\inf p_k} [f_k(\epsilon)]^H) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L| \geq \epsilon\}| \min([f_k(\epsilon)]^{\inf p_k} [f_k(\epsilon)]^H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of bounded moduli, and $0 < h = \inf_k(p_k) \leq p_k \leq \sup_k(p_k) = H < \infty$. Then $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) \subset [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$.

Proof. Suppose that $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of bounded moduli. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let \sum_1 denote the sum over $k \in I_n$ such that $|\Delta_v^m x_{k+m} - L| \geq \epsilon$ and \sum_2 denote the sum over $k \leq n$ such that $|\Delta_v^m x_{k+m} - L| < \epsilon$. Since $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of bounded moduli there exists an integer K such that $F(x) < K$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_2 [f_k(|\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_1 \max(K^h, K^H) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_2 [f_k(\epsilon)]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \max(K^h, K^H) \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta_v^m x_{k+j} - L| \geq \epsilon\}| \\ &\quad + \max(f_k(\epsilon)^h, f_k(\epsilon)^H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of bounded moduli, and $0 < h = \inf_k(p_k) \leq p_k \leq \sup_k(p_k) = H < \infty$. Then $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) = [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$ if and only if $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of bounded moduli.

Proof. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of bounded moduli. By Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 we have $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) = [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$.

Conversely, suppose that $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of unbounded moduli. Then there exists a positive sequence (t_k) with $f_k(t_k) = k^2$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. If we choose

$$\Delta_v^m x_i = \begin{cases} t_k, & i = k^2, (i = 1, 2, \dots) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta_v^m x_{k+j}| \geq \epsilon\}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n - 1}}{\lambda_n} \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } j.$$

This implies that $x \in S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m)$, but $x \notin [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$. This contradicts to $S(\Delta_{v\lambda}^m) = [V, \Delta_{v\lambda}^m, F, p]$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to record their gratitude to the reviewer for his careful reading and making some useful corrections which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Colak and M. Et, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformation*, Hokkaido Mathematical journal , 26(3) (1997): 483-492.
- [2] J. S. Connor, *The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences*, Analysis 8(1988), 47-63.
- [3] J. S. Connor, J. Fridy, and J. Kline, *Statistical l pre cauchy sequences*, Analysis 14 (1994), 311-317.
- [4] J.P. Duran, *Infinite matrices and almost convergence*, Math. Zeit. 128 (1972) 75 - 83.
- [5] A. Esi and M. Isik, *Some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Thai journal of mathematics, 3 (2005) : 241- 247.
- [6] M. Et, *Strongly almost summable difference sequences of order m defined by a sequence of moduli*, Stud.Sci. Math. Hung. 40(2003) 463 - 476.
- [7] M. Et, *On some topological properties of generalized difference sequence spaces*, Internat.J. Math.and Math.Sci., 24(11) (2000) :785-791.
- [8] M. Et and A. Esi, *On Kothe Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces*, Bull. Malaysian Math.Sci.Soc., 24(11)(2000): 25-32.
- [9] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. 2(1951), 241-244.
- [10] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, *Some Cesaro - type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math.Soc.(37(3)) (1978), 508-520.
- [11] J. A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis 5(1985), 301-313.
- [12] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. 160 (1993) 43-51.

- [13] P.Kamphthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1980. - 1112.
- [14] V. A. Khan, *Some inclusion relations between the difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of moduli*, Journal of the Indian Math. Soc. 73 (1-2)(2006):77-81.
- [15] V. A. Khan and Q.M.D. Lohani, *Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Southeast Asian Bull.Math 30 (2006): 1061-1067.
- [16] V.A. Khan and Q.M.D. Lohani, *New Lacunary strong convergence difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of moduli*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 46 (4) (2006) : 591-595.
- [17] V. A. Khan, *New Lacunary Strongly Summable Difference Sequences and Δ_y^m -Lacunary Almost Statistical Convergence*, Vietnam J. Math., 36 (4) (2008) : 405 - 413.
- [18] J.P. King, *Almost summable sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1966)1219 - 1225.
- [19] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (2) (1981): 169- 176.
- [20] E. Kolk, *On strong boundedness and summmability with respect to a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu,960(1993)41-50
- [21] E. Kolk, *Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu,970(1994)65-72
- [22] L. Leindler, *Über de la Vallee Pousinsche Summierbarkeit allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Math. Hung. 16 (1965), 375 - 378.
- [23] G.G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*, Acta Math. 80(1948) 167-190.
- [24] I.J. Maddox, *A new type of convergence* , Math. Proc. camb. Phil. Soc. 83 (1978) 61 -64.
- [25] I.J. Maddox, *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Camb. Phil. Soc., 100(1986), 161-166.
- [26] I.J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. 18(1967)345-355.

- [27] S. Nanda, *Strongly almost convergent sequences*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 76(1984) 236 - 240.
- [28] S. Nanda, *Strongly almost summable and strongly almost convergent sequences*, Acta. Math. Hung. 49((1987) 71 -76.
- [29] W.H. Ruckle, *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math., 25(1973), 973-975.
- [30] T. Šalát, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca 30(1980), 139-150.
- [31] I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 66(1959), 361-375.

Vakeel A.Khan
Department of Mathematics,
Aligarh Muslim University,
Aligarh -202002(INDIA)
email: *vakhan@math.com*