

**APPLICATIONS OF SUBORDINATION ON SUBCLASSES OF
MEROMORPHICALLY UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH
INTEGRAL OPERATOR**

INDU BALA BAPNA AND NISHA MATHUR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we are concerned with applications of differential subordination for class of meromorphic univalent functions defined by integral operator,

$$P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^{\beta} \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt$$

In the present paper, our aim is to study the Coefficient Bounds, Integral Representation, Linear Combinations, Weighted and Arithmetic Mean.

Keywords: Meromorphic Functions, Differential Subordination, Integral Operator, Coefficient Bounds, Integral Representation, Linear Combination, Weighted Means and Arithmetic Means.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30c45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be a class of all Meromorphic functions $f(z)$ of the form by [4]

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0 \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad b_k \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

Which are univalent in the punctured unit disk $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$ with a simple pole at the origin. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written $f \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in U)$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, ($z \in U$). In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , we have the following[5],

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad (z \in U) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U)$$

Definition 1. Analogous to the operators defined by Jung, Kim, and Srivastava[3] on the normalized Analytic functions, by [1] define the following integral operator

$$P_\beta^\alpha : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$$

$$P_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^\beta \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (1.3)$$

$$(\alpha > 0, \beta > 0; z \in U)$$

Where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the familiar Gamma Function. Using the integral representation of the Gamma and Beta function, it can be shown that For $f(z) \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) we have

$$P_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1}\right)^\alpha a_k z^k, \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0) \quad (1.4)$$

$$z(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))' = \beta P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z) - (\beta + 1)P_\beta^\alpha f(z), \quad (\alpha > 1, \beta > 0) \quad (1.5)$$

Definition 2. Let A and B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) be defined parameters. We say that a function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is in the class $\Sigma(A, B)$; if it satisfies the following subordination condition by [5]

$$-z^2(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.6)$$

By the definition of differential subordinate, (1.6) is equivalent to the following condition

$$\left| \frac{1 + z^2(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'}{A + Bz^2(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, we can write

$$\Sigma(1 - 2\beta, -1) = \Sigma(\beta)$$

Where $\Sigma(\beta)$ denotes the class of function in Σ satisfying following form

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-z^2 (p_\beta^\alpha f(z))' \right) > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in U)$$

Here are some applications of differential subordination $\{[2],[6]\}$.

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

Theorem 2.1 *Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) be in Σ Then the function $f(z)$ belongs to the class $\Sigma(A, B)$ if and only if*

$$(1 - B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k < (A - B) \tag{2.1}$$

Where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha} z^k$$

Proof: Assume that the condition (2.1) is true. We must show that $f \in \Sigma(A, B)$ or equivalently prove that

$$\left| \frac{1 + z^2 (p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'}{A + Bz^2 (p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'} \right| < 1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 + z^2 (p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'}{A + Bz^2 (p_\beta^\alpha f(z))'} \right| &= \left| \frac{1 + \left(-1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k z^{k+1} \right)}{A + B \left(-1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k z^{k+1} \right)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k z^{k+1}}{A - B + B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k z^{k+1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k}{A - B + B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^\alpha a_k} \right| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is true by (2.1).

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \Sigma(A, B)$. We must show that the condition (2.1) holds true. We have

$$\left| \frac{1 + z^2 \left(p_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{A + B z^2 \left(p_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) \right)'} \right| < 1$$

Hence we get

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k}{A - B + B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k} \right| < 1,$$

Since $Re(z) < |z|$, so we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k}{A - B + B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k} \right\} < 1$$

We Choose the value of z on the real axis and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$, then we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k}{A - B + B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k} \right\} < 1$$

Then

$$(1 - B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k < (A - B)$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(A - B) (k + \beta + 1)^{\alpha}}{(1 - B) k \beta^{\alpha}} z^k$$

Corollary 2.2 Let $f \in \Sigma(A, B)$, then we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{(A - B) (k + \beta + 1)^{\alpha}}{(1 - B) k (\beta)^{\alpha}} \quad k \geq 1$$

3. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

In the next theorem we obtain an integral representation for $p_\beta^\alpha f(z)$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $f \in \Sigma(A, B)$, then*

$$p_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(A\phi(t) - 1)}{t^2(1 - B\phi(t))} dt, \quad \text{where } |\phi(z)| < 1, \quad z \in U \quad (3.1)$$

Proof: Let $f(z) \in \Sigma(A, B)$ letting $-z^2(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))' = y(z)$ We have

$$y(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (3.2)$$

Or we can write

$$\left| \frac{y(z) - 1}{By(z) - A} \right| < 1,$$

so that consequently, we have

$$\frac{y(z) - 1}{By(z) - A} = \phi(z), \quad |\phi(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

We can write

$$-z^2(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))' = \frac{1 - A\phi(z)}{1 - B\phi(z)},$$

Which gives

$$-(p_\beta^\alpha f(z))' = \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{1 - A\phi(z)}{1 - B\phi(z)},$$

$$p_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{A\phi(t) - 1}{1 - B\phi(t)} dt \quad (3.3)$$

And this gives the required result.

4. LINEAR COMBINATION

In the theorem below, we prove a linear combination for the class $\Sigma(A, B)$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $f_i(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k$, $(a_{k,i} \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, l)$*

Belong to $\Sigma(A, B)$ then

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i f_i(z) \in \Sigma(A, B) \quad \text{Where } \sum_{i=1}^l c_i = 1$$

Proof: By theorem 2.1, We can write for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-B)}{(A-B)} \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_{k,i} < 1, \quad (4.1)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^l c_i \left(z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \right) \right) = z^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_i a_{k,i} z^k \\ &= z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l c_i a_{k,i} \right) z^k \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

however

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-B)}{(A-B)} \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_{k,i} c_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-B)}{(A-B)} \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_{k,i} \right] c_i \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

then $F(z) \in \Sigma(A, B)$

hence the proof is complete.

5. WEIGHTED MEAN

Definition 3. $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to Σ , then the weighted mean $h_j(z)$ of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$h_j(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(1-j)f(z) + (1+j)g(z)]$$

In the following theorem we will show the weighted mean for the class $\Sigma(A, B)$.

Theorem 5.1 *If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are in the class $\Sigma(A, B)$, then the weighted mean of*

$f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is also in $\Sigma(A, B)$

Proof: We have for $h_j(z)$ by definition

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-j) \left(z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right) + (1+j) \left(z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right) \right] \\ &= z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1-j)a_k + (1+j)b_k] z^k \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Since $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are in the class $\Sigma(A, B)$ so by theorem 2.1 we must prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-B) \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1-j)a_k + \frac{1}{2}(1+j)b_k \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1-j)(1-B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k + \frac{1}{2}(1+j)(1-B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} b_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1-j)(A-B) + \frac{1}{2}(1+J)(A-B) \\ &\leq (A-B) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

hence proved

6. ARITHMETIC MEAN

Definition 4. Let $f_1(z), f_2(z) \dots f_l(z)$ belong to $\Sigma(A, B)$, then the arithmetic mean $h(z)$ of $f_i(z)$ is given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^l f_k(z)$$

In the theorem below we will prove the arithmetic mean for this class $\Sigma(A, B)$.

Theorem 6.1 If $f_1(z), f_2(z) \dots f_l(z)$ are in the class $\Sigma(A, B)$, then the arithmetic mean $h(z)$ of $f_i(z)$ is given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l f_i(z) \tag{6.1}$$

is also in the class $\Sigma(A, B)$.

Proof: We have for $h(z)$ by def. 4

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^l \left(z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \right) = z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^l a_{k,i} \right) z^k \quad (6.2)$$

Since $f_i(z) \in \Sigma(A, B)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ so by using theorem 2.1, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l a_{k,i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-B) \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{k+\beta+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_{k,i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l (A-B) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Y. Lashin, *On Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions Associated with Certain Integral Operators*, Computers and Mathematics with Application, 59, (2009), 524-531.
 [2] H. M. Srivastava and J. Patel, *Applications of Differential Subordination to Certain Subclasses of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math., 6 (3), (2005), Art. 88.
 [3] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, *The Hardy Space of Analytic Functions Associated with Certain One-parameter of Families of Integral Operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 176 (1), (1993), 138-147. [4] J. Patel, P. Sahoo, *On Certain Subclass of Meromorphically Univalent Functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., 42, (2002), 121-132.
 [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations and Univalent Functions*, Michigan Math. J., 28, (1981), 157-171.
 [6] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, *On Application of Differential Subordination for Certain Subclass pf Meromorphically P-Valent Functions With Positive Coefficients Defined by Linear Operator*, jipam, 10, (2009), Issue 2, Art. 53, pg. 11.

Indu Bala Bapna,
 Department of Mathematics,
 M. L. V. Govt. P. G. College,

Bhilwara, Rajasthan, India
email:*bapnain@yahoo.com*

Nisha Mathur,
Department of Mathematics,
M. L. V. Govt. P. G. College,
Bhilwara, Rajasthan, India
email:*nishamathur62@yahoo.com*