

**UNIVALENCE CRITERIA FOR A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL  
OPERATORS DEFINED BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL  
OPERATOR**

SALMA FARAJ RAMADAN AND MASLINA DARUS

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we discuss some extensions of univalent conditions for a family of integral operators defined by generalized differential operators. Several other results are also considered.

*Keywords:* Univalent functions, Integral operators, Differential operators.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and  $H[a, n]$  be the subclass of  $H$  consisting of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots \quad (1)$$

Let  $A$  be the subclass of  $H$  consisting of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

and satisfy the following usual normalized condition  $f(0) = f(0)' - 1 = 0$ . Also let  $S$  denote the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$  which are univalent in  $U$ .

If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , then the Hadamard product or (convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad z \in U.$$

The authors in [13] have recently introduced a new generalized differential operator  $D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k$ , as the following:

**Definition 1.** For  $f \in A$  of the form (2) we define the following generalized differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^1 f(z) &= [1 - (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)] f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) z f'(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(n - 1) + 1] a_n z^n \\ &\quad \vdots \\ D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f(z) &= D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^1 (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{k-1} f(z)) \\ D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(n - 1) + 1]^k a_n z^n, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > \delta$ ,  $\beta > \alpha$  and  $k \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ .

**Remark 1.** (i) When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\beta = 1$  we get Salagean differential operator (see[14]). (ii) When  $\alpha = 0$  we get Darus and Ibrahim differential operator (see[6]). (iii) And when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  we get Al- Oboudi differential operator(see [2]).

It is also interesting to see combination of operators given by Lupas [17].

Now, we begin by recalling each of the following theorems dealing with univalence criteria, which will be required in our present work.

**Theorem 1.**[10] Let  $f \in A$  and  $\lambda \in C$ . If  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$  and

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\Re(\lambda)}}{\Re(\lambda)} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U). \quad (4)$$

Then the function  $F_\lambda(z)$  given by

$$F_\lambda(z) = \left( \alpha \int_0^z u^{\lambda-1} f(u) du \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \quad (5)$$

is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

**Theorem 2.**[9] Let  $f \in A$  satisfy the following condition

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{[f(z)]^2} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U), \quad (6)$$

then the function  $f(z)$  is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

**Theorem 3.**[12] Let  $g \in A$  satisfy the inequality in (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by

$$a \in (0, \sqrt{3}] \quad \text{and} \quad a^4 + a^2 b^2 - 9 \geq 0.$$

If

$$|g(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $\mu_\lambda(z)$  given by

$$\mu_\lambda(z) = \left[ (a + bi) \int_0^z u^{a+bi-1} \left( \frac{g(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{a+bi}} du \right]^{\frac{1}{a+bi}} \quad (\lambda = a + bi) \quad (7)$$

is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

**Theorem 4.**[12] Let  $g \in A$  satisfy the inequality in (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by

$$a \in \left[ \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \right], \quad b \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

and

$$8a^2 + 9b^2 - 18a + 9 \leq 0.$$

If

$$|g(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $\psi_\lambda(z)$  given by

$$\psi_\lambda(z) = \left[ (a + bi) \int_0^z (g(u))^{a+bi-1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{a+bi}} \quad (\lambda = a + bi) \quad (8)$$

is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

By using generalized differential operator given by (3), we introduce the following integral operator:

**Definition 2.** Let  $\lambda$  a complex number,  $0 \leq \mu < 1$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we introduce the integral operator as follows:

$$F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k(z) = \left[ \mu [n(\lambda - 1) + 1] \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f_j(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} u^{n(\lambda-1)} du + (1 - \mu) [n(\lambda - 1) + 1] \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n (D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f_j(u))^{\lambda-1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{[n(\lambda-1)+1]}}$$

or

$$F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k(z) = \left[ [n(\lambda - 1) + 1] \left\{ \mu \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f_j(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} u^{n(\lambda-1)} du + (1 - \mu) \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n (D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f_j(u))^{\lambda-1} du \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{[n(\lambda-1)+1]}} \quad (9)$$

for  $f_j \in A$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k$  is defined by (3), where  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > \delta$ ,  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $k \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ .

**Remark 2.** When  $n = 1$ ,  $\mu = 1$ ,  $k = 0$  we have the integral operator  $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k$  reduces to the operator  $F_{1,\lambda,1}^0 \equiv F_{1,\lambda}$  which is related closely to some known integral operators investigated earlier in Univalent Function Theory (see for details [15]). The operator  $F_{1,\lambda}$  was studied by Pescar [11]. Upon setting  $n = \lambda = \mu = 1$ ,  $k = 0$  in (9) we can obtain the integral operator  $F_{1,1,1}^0 \equiv F_{1,1}$  which was studied by Alexander [1]. When  $\mu = 1$ ,  $k = 0$ , we have the integral operators, studied by Breaz [4]. When  $\mu = k = 0$ ,  $n = 1$  the integral operator  $F_{1,\lambda,0}^0 \equiv G_{1,\lambda}$  was studied by Moldoveanu [7]. When  $\mu = k = 0$  we have the

integral operators was introduced by Breaz and Breaz [5]. Furthermore, in their special case when  $\mu = n = 1, k = 0, \lambda = a + ib, (a, b \in R)$  the integral operator  $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k$  would obviously reduce to the integral operator (7) and when  $\mu = k = 0, n = 1, \lambda = a + ib, (a, b \in R)$  the integral operator  $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k$  would obviously reduce to the integral operator (8).

Now we need the following lemma to prove our main results:

**Lemma 1.** (*General Schwarz Lemma [8]*). *Let the function  $f(z)$  be regular in the disk*

$$U_R = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < R\},$$

with

$$|f(z)| < M, (z \in U_R)$$

for a fixed  $M > 0$ . If  $f(z)$  has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than  $m$  for  $z = 0$ , then

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^m} |z|^m \quad (z \in U_R). \quad (10)$$

The equality in (10) can hold true only if

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \left( \frac{M}{R^m} \right) z^m,$$

where  $\theta$  is a constant.

In the present paper we study further on univalence conditions involving the general integral operators given by (9).

## 2. UNIVALENCE CONDITION ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED INTEGRAL OPERATOR $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k$ WHEN $\mu = 1$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M \geq 1$  and suppose that each of the functions  $f_j \in A, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib, (a, b \in R)$  be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by*

$$a \in \left( 0, \sqrt{(2M + 1)n} \right] \quad (11)$$

and

$$a^4 + a^2b^2 - [(2M + 1)n]^2 \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j| \leq M \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}).$$

Then the function  $F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k$  when  $\mu = 1$  is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

*Proof:* We begin by setting

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} du$$

so that, obviously

$$f'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \tag{13}$$

and

$$f''(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{(1-\lambda)}{\lambda}} \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))' - D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z^2} \right) \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m \neq j)}}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_m(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}. \tag{14}$$

Thus from (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))' - D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right)$$

which readily shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))' - D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))' - D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))'}{(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))^2} \right| \frac{|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)|}{|z|} + 1 \right), \quad (z \in U).$$

Now, from the hypotheses of Theorem 5, we obtain

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)| \leq M \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

due to the General Schwarz Lemma, yields:

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)| \leq M |z| \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}). \quad (15)$$

Therefore, by using the inequalities (6) and (15), we obtain the following inequality:

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a} (2M + 1) n}{a \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \leq \frac{(2M + 1) n}{a \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \quad (z \in U).$$

Next, from (11) and (12), we have

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

Finally, by applying Theorem 1, we conclude that when  $\mu = 1$ , the function  $F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k$  given by (9) is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ . This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Taking  $M = 1$  in Theorem 5, we get the following:

**Corollary 1.** *Let each of the functions  $f_j \in A$ ;  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$ , ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by*

$$a \in (0, \sqrt{3n}]$$

and

$$a^4 + a^2 b^2 - (3n)^2 \geq 0.$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f_j(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}),$$

then when  $\mu = 1$ , the function  $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k(z)$  defined by (9) is in the univalent functions class  $S$  in  $U$ .

If we set  $n = 1$  in Theorem 5, we can obtain the following:

**Corollary 2.** Let  $M \geq 1$  and suppose that  $f \in A$  and  $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f(z)$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$ , ( $a, b \in R$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by

$$a \in \left(0, \sqrt{2M+1}\right]$$

and

$$a^4 + a^2b^2 - (2M+1)^2 \geq 0.$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f(z)| \leq M \quad (z \in U),$$

then the integral operator

$$F_{1,\lambda,1}^k(z) = \left[ (a+ib) \int_0^z \left( \frac{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^k f(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{a+ib}} du \right]^{\frac{1}{a+ib}}$$

is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

**Remark 2.** When  $k = 0$  in Corollary 2 provides an extension of Theorem 3 due to Pescar and Breaz [12].

**Remark 3.** If, in Theorem 5, we set  $M = n = 1$ ,  $k = 0$  again we obtain Theorem 3 due to Pescar and Breaz [12].

UNIVALENCE CONDITION ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED INTEGRAL  
OPERATOR  $F_{n,\lambda,\mu}^k$  WHEN  $\mu = 0$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $M \geq 1$  and suppose that each of the functions  $f_j \in A$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$ , ( $a, b \in R$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by

$$a \in \left[ \frac{(2M+1)n}{(2M+1)n+1}, \frac{(2M+1)n}{(2M+1)n-1} \right], \quad b \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{[(2M+1)n]^2 - 1}} \right] \quad (16)$$



and

$$[(a - 1)^2 + b^2] [(2M + 1)n]^2 - a^2 \leq 0. \quad (17)$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)| \leq M \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}),$$

then when  $\mu = 0$ , the function  $F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

*Proof:* First of all when  $\mu = 0$  we recall from (9) that

$$F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k(z) = \left( [n(\lambda - 1) + 1] \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(u)}{u} \right)^{\lambda-1} u^{n(\lambda-1)} du \right)^{\frac{1}{[n(\lambda-1)+1]}},$$

for  $f_j \in A; j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let us now define the function  $h(z)$  by

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(u)}{u} \right)^{\lambda-1} du \quad (f_j \in A; j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}).$$

Then, since

$$h'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z} \right)^{\lambda-1} \quad (z \in U), \quad (18)$$

we see that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h'(0) = 1$ . Moreover, by noting that

$$h''(z) = (\lambda - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z} \right)^{\lambda-2} \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))' - D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)}{z^2} \right) \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m \neq j)}}^n \left( \frac{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_m(z)}{z} \right)^{\lambda-1}, \quad (19)$$

we thus find from (18) and (19) that

$$\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = (\lambda - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right) \quad (f_j \in A; j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}),$$

which readily shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| &= \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} |\lambda - 1| \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + b^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + b^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))'}{(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z))^2} \right| \frac{|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)|}{|z|} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using the inequalities (6) and (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} (2M + 1) n \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + b^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(2M + 1) n \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + b^2}}{a} \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from (16) and (17) that

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

Finally, by applying Theorem 1 for the function  $h(z)$ , we conclude that the function  $F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$  for the case  $\mu = 0$ .

Next, taking  $M = 1$  in Theorem 6, we get the following:

**Corollary 3.** *Let each of the functions  $f_j \in A$ ;  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$ , ( $a, b \in R$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by*

$$a \in \left[ \frac{3n}{3n+1}, \frac{3n}{3n-1} \right], \quad b \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{9n^2 - 1}} \right]$$

and

$$9 [(a - 1)^2 + b^2] n^2 - a^2 \leq 0.$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f_j(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in U, j = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}),$$

then the function  $F_{n, \lambda, \mu}^k(z)$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$  for  $\mu = 0$ .

If we take  $n = 1$  in Theorem 6, we can have the following:

**Corollary 4.** Let  $M \geq 1$  and suppose that  $f \in A$  and  $D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f(z)$  satisfies the inequality (6). Also let  $\lambda = a + ib$ , ( $a, b \in R$ ) be a complex number with the components  $a$  and  $b$  constrained by

$$a \in \left[ \frac{2M + 1}{2M + 2}, \frac{2M + 1}{2M} \right], \quad b \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{M(M + 1)}} \right]$$

and

$$[(a - 1)^2 + b^2] (2M + 1)^2 - a^2 \leq 0.$$

If

$$|D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f(z)| \leq M \quad (z \in U),$$

then the integral operator

$$F_{1, \lambda, 0}^k(z) = \left[ (a + ib) \int_0^z (D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^k f(u))^{a+ib-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{a+ib}}$$

is in the univalent function class  $S$  in  $U$ .

**Remark 4.** When  $k = 0$  in Corollary 3, reduce to Theorem 4 due to Pescar and Breaz [12].

**Remark 5.** If, in Theorem 6, we set  $M = n = 1$ ,  $k = 0$  again we obtain Theorem 4 due to Pescar and Breaz [12].

**Acknowledgement:** The work here is fully supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0107-2009.

## References

- [1] J. W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. Math.(ser.2), **17**, (1915), 12-22.
- [2] F. M. Al- Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci, **27**, (2004), 1429-1436.
- [3] D. Breaz, N. Breaz, *The univalent conditions for an integral operator on the classes  $l(p)$  and  $\tau_2$* , J. Approx. Theory Appl., **1** (2005), 93-98.
- [4] D. Breaz, N. Breaz, *Univalent of an integral operator*, Mathematica (Cluj), **47 (70)** (2005), 35-38.
- [5] D. Breaz, N. Breaz, Srivastava, H. M., *An extension of the univalent condition for a family of integral operators*, Appl. Math. Lett., **22** (2009), 41-44.
- [6] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, *On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order*, Far East J. Math. Sci.:(FJMS), **33(3)** (2009), 299-308.
- [7] S. Moldoveanu, N. N. Pascu, *Integral operators which preserve the univalence*, Mathematica (Cluj), **32 (55)** (1990), 159-166.
- [8] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1952; Reprinted by Dover Publications Incorporated, New York, (1975).
- [9] S. Ozaki, M. Nunokawa, H. Saitoh, H. M. Srivastava, *Close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity of certain analytic functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **15**, (2002), 63-69.
- [10] N. N. Pascu, *On a univalence criterion, II*, in: Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity (Cluj-Napoca, 1985),pp.153-154, Preprint 86-6, Univ." Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca,1985.
- [11] V. Pescar, *New criteria for univalence of certain integral operators*, Demonstratio Math., **33**,(2000), 51-54.
- [12] V. Pescar, D. Breaz, *Some integral operators and their univalence*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., **15**,(2008), 147-152.

- [13] S. F. Ramadan, M. Darus, *On the Fekete- Szegő inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator*, submitted to Acta Universitatis Apulensis.
- [14] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math.1013, Springer, Verlag Berlin, , (1983), pp:362-372.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong , (1992).
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, E. Deniz, H. Orhan, *Some generalized univalence criteria for a family of integral operators*, Appl. Math. Comp., **215** (2010), 3696-3701.
- [17] A. A. Lupas, *A note on differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Rucsheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 24 (2010), 201-209.

Salma Faraj Ramadan  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia  
email: *salma.naji @Gmail.com*

Maslina Darus  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia  
email: *maslina@ukm.my*