

ON CERTAIN CLASS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED
BY MEANS OF A LINEAR OPERATOR

ALI MUHAMMAD

Dedicated to my Supervisor Professor Dr. Khalida Inayat Noor on the occasion of getting award of Noor integral operator organized by COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, H-8/1 Islamabad, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce new class $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$ of meromorphic functions defined by using a meromorphic analogue of the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator for the generalized hypergeometric function and investigate a number of inclusion relationships and radius problem of this class. The subordination relations, distortion theorems, and inequality properties are discussed by applying differential subordination method.

Keywords and phrases: Meromorphic functions, Differential subordination, Generalized hypergeometric functions, Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$E^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = E \setminus \{0\}.$$

If f and g are analytic in $E = E \cup \{0\}$, we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w in E such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

For a complex parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and β_1, \dots, β_s ($\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$; $j = 1, \dots, s$), we now define the generalized hypergeometric function

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \tag{1.2}$$

($q \leq s + 1; s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}; z \in E$), where $(v)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) defined in (terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(v)_k = \frac{\Gamma(v+k)}{\Gamma(v)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ v(v+1)\dots(v+k-1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to a function

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z^{-1} {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z). \quad (1.3)$$

Liu and Srivastava [8] consider a linear operator

$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) : M \longrightarrow M$ defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z). \quad (1.4)$$

We note that the linear operator $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ was motivated essentially by Dzoik and Srivastava [2]. Some interesting developments with the generalized hypergeometric function were considered recently by Dzoik and Srivastava [3, 4] and Liu and Srivastava [6, 7].

Corresponding to the function $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ defined by (1.3), we introduce a function $\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ given by

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * \lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \frac{1}{z(1-z)^\lambda} \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (1.5)$$

Analogous to $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ defined by (1.4), we now define the linear operator $H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ on M as follows:

$$H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = \lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z) \quad (1.6)$$

($\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; i = 1, \dots, q; j = 1, \dots, s; \lambda > 0; z \in E^*; f \in M$).

For convenience, we write

$$H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1) = H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

It is easily verified from the definition (1.5) and (1.6) that

$$z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z))' = \alpha_1 H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)f(z) - (\alpha_1 + 1)H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z), \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' = \lambda H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1)f(z) - (\lambda + 1)H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)f(z). \quad (1.8)$$

We note that the operator $(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1))$ is closely related to the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator [1] for analytic functions, which includes the integral operator studied by Liu [5] and Noor et al [12, 13].

Now by using the operator $(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1))$, we introduce some new class of meromorphic functions.

Definition 1.1. Assume that $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B, A \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that a function $f(z) \in M$ is in the class $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$ if it satisfies:

$$(1-\alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^{\mu-1} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

$z \in E$. In particular, we let $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, 1 - 2\rho, -1) \equiv MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, \rho)$ denote the subclass of $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$ for $A = 1 - 2\rho, B = -1$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. It is obvious that $f \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, \rho)$ if and only if $f \in M$ and satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^{\mu-1} > \rho, \quad z \in E.$$

In this paper, we will discuss the subordination relations, inclusion relations, distortion theorems and inequalities properties of $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

To establish our main results we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 [10, 11]. Let the function $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in E with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose also that the function $\Phi(z)$ given by

$$\Phi(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic in E . If

$$\Phi(z) + \frac{z\Phi'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in E; \operatorname{Re}\gamma \geq 0; \gamma \neq 0), \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$\Phi(z) \prec \Psi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in E),$$

and $\Psi(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.1).

3.MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \in \mathbb{R}, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$. Then

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}-1} du \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

Proof. Consider the function $\phi(z)$ defined by

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu = \phi(z), \quad z \in E. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\phi(z)$ is analytic in E with $\phi(0) = 1$. Differentiating (3.1) with respect to z and using the identity (1.8) in (3.1), we have

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (1-\alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu)^{\mu-1} \\ = \phi(z) + \frac{\alpha z \phi'(z)}{\mu\lambda} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad z \in E. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Now by using Lemma 2.1 for $\gamma = \frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu &= \phi(z) \prec \frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \frac{1+At}{1+Bt} t^{\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}-1} du \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, \rho \neq 1$. If

$$(1-\alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu)^{\mu-1} \prec \frac{1+(1-2\rho)z}{1-z},$$

$z \in E$, then

$$zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \rho + \frac{(1-\rho)\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1+zu}{1-zu} u^{\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha}-1} du, \quad z \in E.$$

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0$, then

$$MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B) \subset MB(0, \lambda, q, s, A, B).$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in MB(0, \lambda, q, s, \rho)$ for $z \in E$. Then $f \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, \rho)$ for $|z| < R(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, where

$$R(\alpha, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \lambda^2\mu^2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Set

$$zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)^\mu = (1 - \rho)h(z) + \rho, \quad z \in E.$$

Now proceeding as Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^{\mu-1})) \\ = (1 - \rho) \left\{ h(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda\mu} zh'(z) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using the following well known estimate [9]

$$|zh'(z)| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2} \operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\}, \quad (|z| = r < 1)$$

in (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\{(1 - \alpha)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu + \alpha z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^{\mu-1} - \rho)\}}{1 - \rho} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda\mu} zh'(z) \right\} \geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda\mu} |zh'(z)| \right\} \\ & \geq \operatorname{Re} h(z) \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\alpha r}{\lambda\mu(1-r^2)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of this inequality is positive if $r < R(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, where $R(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ is given by (3.2). Consequently it follows from (3.3) that $f \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, \rho)$ for $|z| < R(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$. Sharpness of this result follows by taking $h_i(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $i = 1, 2$ in (3.3).

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$. Then

$$MB(\alpha_1, \lambda, q, s, A, B) \subset MB(\alpha_2, \lambda, q, s, A, B).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in MB(\alpha_1, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$. Then by Theorem 3.1 we have $f(z) \in MB(0, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.

$$\{(1 - \alpha_2)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu + \alpha_2 z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^{\mu-1}))\}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \left\{ (1 - \alpha_1)(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^\mu + \alpha_1 z((H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))(z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)))^{\mu-1}) \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1})(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}.$$

We see that $f(z) \in MB(\alpha_2, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$, $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2$. Then*

$$MB(\alpha_1, \lambda, q, s, \rho_2) \subset MB(\alpha_2, \lambda, q, s, \rho_1).$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mu > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$. Then*

$$\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du < \operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \\ < \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du, \quad z \in E, \quad (3.4)$$

and the inequality (3.4) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by

$$H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z) = z^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Since $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$, according to Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu\lambda}{\alpha} - 1} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Therefore it follows from the definition of subordination and $A > B$ that

$$\operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu < \sup_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \right\} \\ \leq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \sup_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} \right\} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \\ < \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu &> \inf_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \inf_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} \right\} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &> \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function $H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)$ defined by (3.5) belongs to the class $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$, we obtain the inequality (3.4) is sharp. Now by using the lines of proof of Theorem 3.5 we have the following results.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq A < B \leq 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du &< \operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \\ &< \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \quad z \in E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and the inequality (3.6) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by (3.5).

Corollary 3.7. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \rho < 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho)u}{1 + u} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du &< \operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \\ &< \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)u}{1 - u} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \quad z \in E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and inequality (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho + \frac{(1 - \rho)\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - u}{1 + u} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du &< \operatorname{Re}(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \\ &< \rho + \frac{(1 - \rho)\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + u}{1 - u} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \quad z \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.
Then

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \operatorname{Re} (zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$$

$$< \left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad z \in E, \quad (3.8)$$

and the inequality (3.8) is sharp with the extremal function defined by equation (3.5).

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\{(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu\} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

Since $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1-A}{1-B} < \{(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu\} < \frac{1+A}{1+B}.$$

Hence the result follows by Theorem 3.5.

Note that the function $H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)$ defined by (3.5) belongs to the class $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$, we obtain that the inequality (3.8) is sharp. Now by using the lines of proof of Theorem 3.8 we have the following result.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq A < B \leq 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.
Then

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \operatorname{Re} (zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$$

$$< \left\{ \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad z \in E, \quad (3.9)$$

and the inequality (3.9) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by (3.5).

Theorem 3.10. Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.

(i) If $\alpha = 0$, when $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$r^{-1} \left(\frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq |H_{\lambda,q,s}f(z)| \leq r^{-1} \left(\frac{1+Ar}{1+Br} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \quad (3.10)$$

and inequality (3.10) is sharp , with the extremal function defined by

$$H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z) = z^{-1} \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}. \quad (3.11)$$

(ii) If $\alpha \neq 0$, when $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Aru}{1 - Bru} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} &\leq |H_{\lambda,q,s}f(z)| \\ &\leq r^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Aru}{1 + Bru} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad z \in E, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and inequality (3.12) is sharp with the extremal function defined by (3.5).

Proof. (i) If $\alpha = 0$. Since $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, we obtain from the definition of $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$ that

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Therefore it follows from the definition of the subordination that

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

where $w(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic E and $|w(z)| < |z|$, so when $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$|(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu| = \left| \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + A|w(z)|}{1 + B|w(z)|} \leq \frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br},$$

and

$$|(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu| \geq \operatorname{Re} (zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \geq \frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br}.$$

It is obvious that (3.10) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by (3.11).

(ii) If $\alpha \neq 0$. according to Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \prec \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Therefore it follows from the definition of the subordination

$$(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu = \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Aw(z)u}{1 + Bw(z)u} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du,$$

where $w(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic E and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$, so when $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))|^\mu &\leq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1 + Aw(z)u}{1 + Bw(z)u} \right| u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au|w(z)|}{1 + Bu|w(z)|} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Aur}{1 + Bur} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|(zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))|^\mu \geq \operatorname{Re} (zH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))^\mu \geq \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Aur}{1 - Bur} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du.$$

Note that the function defined by (3.5) belongs to the class $MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$, we obtain that the inequality (3.12) is sharp. By applying the techniques that we used in proving Theorem 3.10 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mu > 0, \alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq A < B \leq 1, f(z) \in MB(\alpha, \lambda, q, s, A, B)$.*

(i) *If $\alpha = 0$, when $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$r^{-1} \left(\frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq |H_{\lambda,q,s}f(z)| \leq r^{-1} \left(\frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \quad (3.13)$$

and inequality (3.13) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by (3.11).

(ii) *If $\alpha \neq 0$, when $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$r^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Aru}{1 + Bru} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha}-1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq |H_{\lambda,q,s}f(z)|$$

$$\leq r^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Aru}{1 - Bru} u^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad z \in E, \quad (3.14)$$

and inequality (3.14) is sharp with the extremal function defined by (3.5).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, *Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002), 432 -445.
- [2] J. Dziok, and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput, 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [3] J. Dziok, and H. M. Srivastava, *Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of coefficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 5 (2002), 115 -125.
- [4] J. Dziok, and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Trans. Spec. Funct. 14 (2003), 7-18.
- [5] J. L. Liu, *The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 261 (2001), 441- 447.
- [6] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2001), 566-581.
- [7] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain properties of the Dzoik Srivastava operator*, Appl. Math. Comput. 159 (2004), 485-493.
- [8] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Math. Comut. Modell. 39 (2004), 21- 34.
- [9] T. H. MacGregor, *Radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (963), 514-520.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157-171.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*, in: Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [12] K. I. Noor, *On new classes of integral operators*, J. Natur. Geom. 16 (1999), 71- 80.
- [13] K. I. Noor and M. A. Noor, *On integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 238 (1999), 341- 352.

- [14] K. I. Noor, *On subclasses of close-to-convex functions of higher order*, Internat. J. Math. and Math Sci., 15 (1992), 279-290.
- [15] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math. 39 (1987), 1057-1077.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. 106 (1987), 1-28.

Dr Ali Muhammad
Department of Basic Sciences
University of Engineering and Technology
Peshawar, Pakistan
email: *ali7887@gmail.com*