

STRONGLY CONVERGENT GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A MODULUS

AYHAN ESI AND AYTEN ESI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences with respect to a modulus function and give the relation between the spaces of strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p]$ -summable sequences and strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences with respect to a modulus function when $A = (a_{ik})$ is an infinite matrix of complex numbers and $p = (p_i)$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. We also give natural relationship between strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences with respect to a modulus function and strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda[A, \Delta^m]$ -statistical convergence.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 40A05;40C05;46A45.

Key words: De la Vallee-Poussin mean; difference sequence; modulus function; statistical convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the article w denotes the space of all sequences. The studies on difference sequence spaces was initiated by Kizmaz [11]. This idea was further generalized by Et and Colak [7], Et and Esi [8], Esi and Tripathy [6], Tripathy et al.[22] and many others. For more details one may refer to these references.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed, then the operation

$$\Delta^m : w \rightarrow w$$

is defined by

$$\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$$

and

$$\Delta^m x_k = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1} x_k), \quad (m \geq 2)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$, $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The generalized difference operator $\Delta^m x_k$ has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} x_{k+i}.$$

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [19] and Ruckle [21]. We recall that a modulus f is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$,
- (iii) f is increasing from the right at 0.

It is immediate from (ii) and (iv) that f is continuous on $(0, \infty]$. Also, from condition (ii), we have $f(nx) \leq nf(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $n^{-1}f(x) \leq f(xn^{-1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A modulus function may be bounded (for example, $f(x) = x(1+x)^{-1}$) or unbounded (for example, $f(x) = x$). Ruckle [21], Maddox [16], Esi [5] and several authors used a modulus f to construct some sequence spaces.

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_r)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity and $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{r+1} \leq \lambda_r + 1$. The generalized de la Vallee-Poussin means is defined by $t_r(x) = \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} x_i$, where $I_r = [r - \lambda_r + 1, r]$. A sequence $x = (x_i)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number L if $t_r(x) \rightarrow L$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see for instance, Leindler [13]). If $\lambda_r = r$, then the (V, λ) -summability is reduced to ordinary $(C, 1)$ -summability. A sequence $x = (x_i)$ is said to be strongly (V, λ) -summable to a number L if $t_r(|x - L|) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $A = (a_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. We write $Ax = (A_i(x))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ if $A_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}x_k$ converges for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Kuttner [12], Maddox [14] and others. The class of sequences which are strongly Cesaro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced by Maddox [6] as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesaro summable sequences. Connor [2] further extended this definition to a definition of strongly A -summability with respect to a modulus when A is non-negative regular matrix.

Recently, the concept of strong (V, λ) -summability was generalized by Bilgin and Altun [1] as follows:

$$V^\lambda[A, p, f] = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(x) - L|)]^{p_i} = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

In the present paper we introduce the strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences with respect to a modulus function and give the relation between the spaces of strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p]$ -summable sequences and strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences

with respect to a modulus function when $A = (a_{ik})$ is an infinite matrix of complex numbers and $p = (p_i)$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. We also give natural relationship between strongly generalized difference $V^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -summable sequences with respect to a modulus function and strongly $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ -statistical convergence.

The following well-known inequality will be used throughout this paper:

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq T (|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}) \tag{1}$$

where a_k and b_k are complex numbers, $T = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ and $H = \sup_k p_k < \infty$ (one may refer to Maddox [15]).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $A = (a_{ik})$ is an infinite matrix of complex numbers and $p = (p_i)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < h = \inf_i p_i \leq p_i \leq \sup_i p_i = H < \infty$ and f be a modulus. We define

$$V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} = 0 \right\},$$

$$V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} = 0 \right\},$$

$$V_\infty^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} < \infty \right\},$$

where $A_i(\Delta^m x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{ik} \Delta^m x_k$.

A sequence $x = (x_i)$ is said to be strongly generalized difference $V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -convergent to a number L if there is a complex number L such that $x = (x_i) \in V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$. In this case we write $x \rightarrow L (V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f])$.

Throughout the paper β will denote one of the notations $0, 1$ or ∞ .

When $f(x) = x$, then we write the sequence spaces $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p]$ in place of $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.

If $p_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ reduce to $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, f]$. If $p_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = 0$ and $\lambda_r = r$, the sequence spaces $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ reduce to $w_\beta(f_A)$ which were defined and studied by Esi and Et [5]. If $m = 0$, $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ reduce to $V_\beta^\lambda [A, p, f]$. The sequence spaces $V_\beta^\lambda [A, p, f]$ were defined and studied by Bilgin and Altun [1].

In this section we examine some topological properties of $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ spaces and investigate some inclusion relations between these spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be a modulus function. Then, $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ is a linear space over the complex field \mathbb{C} for $\beta = 0, 1$ or ∞ .*

Proof. We give the proof only for $\beta = 0$. Since the proof is analogous for the spaces $V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ and $V_\infty^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$, we omit the details.

Let $x, y \in V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ and $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists integers T_α and T_μ such that $|\alpha| \leq T_\alpha$ and $|\mu| \leq T_\mu$. By using (1) and the properties of modulus f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} (\Delta^m (\alpha x_k + \mu y_k)) \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} \leq \\ & \leq \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha a_{ik} \Delta^m x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu a_{ik} \Delta^m y_k \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} \\ & \leq T \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[T_\alpha f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \Delta^m x_k \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} + T \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[T_\mu f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \Delta^m y_k \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} \\ & \leq T T_\alpha^H \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \Delta^m x_k \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} + T T_\mu^H \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left[f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \Delta^m y_k \right| \right) \right]^{p_i} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ is linear.

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be a modulus function. Then the inclusions*

$$V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset V_\infty^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$$

hold.

Proof. The inclusion $V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ is obvious. Now let $x \in V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ such that $x \rightarrow L (V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f])$. By using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f (|A_i (\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} = \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f (|A_i (\Delta^m x) - L + L|)]^{p_i} \\ & \leq T \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f (|A_i (\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} + T \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f (|L|)]^{p_i} \\ & \leq T \sup_r \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f (|A_i (\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} + T \max \left\{ f (|L|)^h, f (|L|)^H \right\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in V_\infty^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$. This shows that the inclusion

$$V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset V_\infty^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$$

holds. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p = (p_i) \in l_\infty$. Then $V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ is a paranormed space with*

$$g(x) = \sup_r \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup_i p_i)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(-x) = g(x)$. It is trivial that $\Delta^m x_k = 0$ for $x = 0$. Hence we get $g(0) = 0$. Since $\frac{p_i}{M} \leq 1$ and $M \geq 1$, using the Minkowski's inequality and definition of modulus f , for each r , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m(x+y))|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) + f(A_i(\Delta^m y))|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m y)|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows that g is subadditive. Finally, to check the continuity of multiplication, let us take any complex number α . By definition of modulus f , we have

$$g(\alpha x) = \sup_r \left(\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m \alpha x)|)]^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq K^{\frac{H}{M}} g(x)$$

where $K = 1 + [|\alpha|]$ ($[|t|]$ denotes the integer part of t). Since f is modulus, we have $x \rightarrow 0$ implies $g(\alpha x) \rightarrow 0$. Similarly $x \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ implies $g(\alpha x) \rightarrow 0$. Finally, we have x fixed and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ implies $g(\alpha x) \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof.

Now we give relation between strongly generalized difference $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p]$ -convergence and strongly generalized difference $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -convergence.

Theorem 2.4. *Let f be a modulus function. Then*

$$V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p] \subset V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f].$$

Proof. We consider only the case $\beta = 1$. Let $x \in V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We can choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(t) < \varepsilon$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$ with $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} \\ = & \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| \leq \delta}} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} + \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} \\ \leq & \max \left\{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \right\} + \max \left\{ 1, (2f(1)\delta^{-1})^H \right\} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} (|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)^{p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in V_1^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let f be a modulus function. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \phi > 0$, then $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p] = V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.*

Proof. For any modulus function, the existence of positive limit given with $\phi > 0$ was introduced by Maddox [17]. Let $\phi > 0$ and $x \in V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$. Since $\phi > 0$, we have $f(t) \geq \phi t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. From this inequality, it is easy to see that $x \in V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p]$. By using Theorem 2.4., the proof is completed.

In the Theorem 2.5., the condition $\phi > 0$ can not be omitted. For this consider the following simple example.

Example 2.1. Let $f(x) = \ln(1+x)$. Then $\phi = 0$. Now define $a_{ik} = 1$ for $i = k$, zero otherwise, $p_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Delta^m x_k$ to be $\lambda_r - th$ term in I_r for every $r \geq 1$ and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Then we have

$$\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} = \lambda_r^{-1} \ln(1 + \lambda_r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

and so $x \in V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$, but

$$\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} (|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)^{p_i} = \lambda_r^{-1} \lambda_r \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

and so $x \notin V_0^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p]$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $0 < p_i \leq q_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\left(\frac{q_i}{p_i}\right)$ be bounded. Then $V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, q, f] \subset V_\beta^\lambda [A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.*

Proof. If we take $b_i = [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then using the same technique of Theorem 2 of Nanda [20], it is easy to prove the theorem.

Corollary 2.7. *The following statements are valid:*

(a) *If $0 < \inf_i p_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, f] \subset V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.*

(b) *If $1 \leq p_i \leq \sup_i p_i = H < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, f]$.*

Proof.(a). It follows from Theorem 2.6 with $q_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) It follows from Theorem 2.6. with $p_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $m \geq 1$ be a fixed integer, then $V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^{m-1}, p, f] \subset V_\beta^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$.

Proof. The proof of the inclusions follows from the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i} &\leq T \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^{m-1} x)|)]^{p_i} \\ &+ T \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x)|)]^{p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

3. $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ – STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

In this section, we introduce natural relationship between strongly generalized $V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ –convergence and strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ –statistical convergence. In [10], Fast introduced the idea of statistical convergence. These idea was later studied by Connor [2], Maddox [16], Mursaleen [18], Et and Nuray [9], Esi [5], Savaş [23] and many others.

A complex number sequence $x = (x_i)$ is said to be statistically convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{K(\varepsilon)}{n} \right| = 0$, where $|K(\varepsilon)|$ denotes the number of elements in the set $K(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |x_i - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$.

A complex number sequence $x = (x_i)$ is said to be strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ –statistically convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r^{-1} |KA(\Delta^m, \varepsilon)| = 0$, where $|KA(\Delta^m, \varepsilon)|$ denotes the number of elements in the set $KA(\Delta^m, \varepsilon) = \{i \in I_r : |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$. The set of all strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ –statistically convergent sequences is denoted by $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$.

If $m = 0$, $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ reduce to $S^\lambda(A)$ which was defined and studied by Bilgin and Altun [1]. If A is identity matrix and $\lambda_r = r$, $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ reduce to $S^\lambda(\Delta^m)$

which was defined and studied by Et and Nuray [9]. If $m = 0$ and $\lambda_r = r$, $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ reduce to S_A which was defined and studied by Esi [3]. If $m = 0$, A is identity matrix and $\lambda_r = r$, strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ -statistically convergent sequences reduce to ordinary statistical convergent sequences.

Now we give the relation between strongly generalized difference $S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$ -statistical convergence and strongly generalized difference $V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$ -convergence.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a modulus function. Then*

$$V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f] \subset S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m).$$

Proof. Let $x \in V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x - L)|)]^{p_i} &\geq \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} \\ &\geq \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} [f(\varepsilon)]^{p_i} \geq \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} \min(f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H) \\ &\geq \min(f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H) \lambda_r^{-1} |KA(\Delta^m, \varepsilon)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let f be a bounded modulus function. Then $V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f] = S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1., it is sufficient to show that $V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f] \supset S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$. Let $x \in S^\lambda(A, \Delta^m)$. Since f is bounded, so there exists an integer $K > 0$ such that $f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|) \leq K$. Then for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} \\ = &\lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| \leq \delta}} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} + \lambda_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |A_i(\Delta^m x) - L| > \delta}} [f(|A_i(\Delta^m x) - L|)]^{p_i} \\ &\leq \max(f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H) + K^H \lambda_r^{-1} |KA(\Delta^m, \varepsilon)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $x \in V_1^\lambda[A, \Delta^m, p, f]$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bilgin, T. and Altun, Y., *Strongly (V^λ, A, p) -summable sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Mathematical Modelling and Analysis, **12**(4),(2004), 419-424.
- [2] Connor, J.S., *The statistical and strong p -Cesaro convergence of sequences*, Analysis, **8**,(1988), 47-63.
- [3] Esi, A., *The A -statistical and strongly $(A-p)$ -Cesaro convergence of sequences*, Pure and Applied Mathematica Sciences, Vol:**XLIII**,No:1-2,(1996), 89-93.
- [4] Esi, A., *Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Tr.J.of Math., **21**, (1997), 61-68.
- [5] Esi, A. and Et, M., *Some new sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Pure and Applied Mathematica Sciences, Vol:**XLIII**,No:1-2,(1996), 95-99.
- [6] Esi, A. and Tripathy, B.C., *Strongly almost convergent generalized difference sequences associated with multiplier sequences*, Math.Slovaca, **57**(4),(2007), 339-348.
- [7] Et, M. and Çolak, R., *On some generalized difference spaces*, Soochow J.Math., **21**(1995), 377-386.
- [8] Et, M. and Esi, A., *On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces*, Bull.Malaysian Math.Soc.(Second Series), **23**,(2000), 25-32.
- [9] Et, M. and Nuray, F., *Δ^m -statistical convergence*, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., **32**,(2001), 961-969.
- [10] Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq.Math., **2**,(1951), 241-244.
- [11] Kizmaz, H., *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad.Math.Bull., **24**, (1981), 169-176.
- [12] Kuttner, B., *Note on strong summability*, J.London.Math.Soc., **21**, (1946), 118-122.
- [13] Leindler, L., *Über die la Vallee-Pousinche summierbarkeit allgemeiner orthogonalkreihen*, Acta Math.Hung., **16**, (1965), 375-378.
- [14] Maddox, I.J., *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart.J.Math.Oxford Ser. **18**(2), (1967), 345-355.
- [15] Maddox, I.J., *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambirdge Univ.Press, 1970.
- [16] Maddox, I.J., *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **100**, (1986), 161-166.
- [17] Maddox, I.J., *Inclusion between FK spaces and Kuttner's theorem*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **101**, (1987), 523-527.
- [18] Mursaleen, M., *λ -statistical convergence*, Math.Slovaca, **50**, (2000), 111-115.
- [19] Nakano, H., *Concave modulars*, J.Math.Soc., **5**, (1953), 29-49.
- [20] Nanda, S., *Strongly almost summable and strongly almost convergent sequences*, Acta Math.Hung.,**49**(1-2), (1987), 71-76.
- [21] Ruckle, W.H., *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors in bounded*, Canad.J.Math., **25**, (1973), 973-978.

- [22] Tripathy, B.C., Esi, A. and Tripathy, B.K., *On a new type generalized difference Cesaro sequence spaces*, Soochow J.Math. **31**(3), (2005), 333-340.
- [23] Savaş, E., *Some sequence spaces and statistical convergence*, Int.J.Math. & Math.Sci. 29(5), (2002), 303-306.

Ayhan Esi and Ayten Esi
Department of Mathematics
University of Adiyaman
02040,Adiyaman, Turkey,
email: *asesi23@hotmail.com*