

**NEIGHBORHOOD AND PARTIAL SUM PROPERTY FOR
UNIVALENT HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS IN TERMS OF
KOMATU OPERATOR**

SHAHRAM NAJAFZADEH AND ALI EBADIAN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we investigate some important properties of a new class of univalent holomorphic functions by using Komatu operator. For example coefficient estimates, extreme points, neighborhoods and partial sums.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C80.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of functions f that are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1)$$

and consider the subclass \mathcal{T} consisting of functions f which are univalent in \mathcal{D} and are of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k. \quad (2)$$

For $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $c \geq -1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{S} consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)}{z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'} \right\} > \alpha \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)}{z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'} - 1 \right| + \beta. \quad (3)$$

The operator $\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)$ is the Komatu operator [3] defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f) = \int_0^1 \frac{(c+1)^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta)} t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\delta-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt.$$

We also let

$$\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathcal{T}. \quad (4)$$

By applying a simple calculation for $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ we get

$$\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta |a_k| z^k. \quad (5)$$

The family $\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ is of special interest for contains many well-known as well as new classes of analytic functions. For example $\mathcal{TS}_c^0(0, \beta)$ is the family of starlike functions of order at most $\frac{1}{\beta}$.

In our present investigation, we need the following elementary Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. *If $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}$ then $Re \omega > \alpha|\omega - 1| + \beta$ if and only if $Re [\omega(1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - \alpha e^{i\gamma}] > \beta$ where ω be any complex number.*

Lemma 1.2. *With the same condition in Lemma 1.1, $Re \omega > \alpha$ if and only if $|\omega - (1 + \alpha)| < |\omega + (1 - \alpha)|$.*

The main aim of this paper is to verify coefficient bounds and extreme points of the general class $\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore, we obtain neighborhood property for functions in $\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. Also partial sums of functions in the class $\mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ are obtained.

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR $\mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$

In this section we find a necessary and sufficient condition and extreme points for functions in the class $\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *If*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]}{(1 - \beta)} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k < 1, \quad (6)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Let (6) hold, we will show that (3) is satisfied and so $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. By Lemma 1.2, it is enough to show that

$$|\omega - (1 + \alpha|\omega - 1| + \beta)| < |\omega + (1 - \alpha|\omega - 1| - \beta)|,$$

where $\omega = \frac{\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)}{z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'}$. By letting $B = \frac{z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'}{|z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'|}$ and by using (5) we may write

$$\begin{aligned} R &= |\omega + 1 - \beta - \alpha|\omega - 1|| \\ &= \frac{1}{|z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'|} \left| 2z - \beta z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (1 - \beta)k + \alpha - \alpha a_k] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^k \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$R > \frac{|z|}{|z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'|} \left[2 - \beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + (1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k \right].$$

Similarly, if $L = |\omega - 1 - \alpha|\omega - 1| - \beta|$ we get

$$L < \frac{|z|}{|z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'|} \left[\beta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [-k + (1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k \right].$$

It is easy to verify that $R - L > 0$ if (6) holds and so the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Then f is in $\mathcal{TK}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k < 1.$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{T} is the subclass of \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathcal{T}$, and using Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the necessity of theorem. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{TK}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. By Lemma 1.1, and letting $\omega = \frac{\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)}{z[\mathcal{K}_c^\delta(f)]'}$ in (3) we obtain

$$Re (\omega(1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - \alpha e^{i\gamma}) > \beta$$

or

$$Re \left[\frac{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^k}{z \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^{k-1} \right)} (1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - \alpha e^{i\gamma} - \beta \right] > 0,$$

then

$$Re \left[\frac{1 - \beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \beta k) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^k - \alpha e^{i\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - k) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k z^{k-1} \right)} \right] > 0.$$

The above inequality must hold for all z in \mathcal{D} . Letting $z = re^{-i\theta}$ where $0 \leq r < 1$ we obtain

$$Re \left[\frac{1 - \beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \beta k) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k r^k - \alpha e^{i\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - k) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k r^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^\delta a_k r^{k-1} \right)} \right] > 0.$$

By letting $r \rightarrow 1$ through half line $z = re^{-i\theta}$ ($0 \leq r < 1$) and the mean value theorem we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[(1 - \beta) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \beta k) - \alpha(1 - k)] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^{\delta} a_k > 0 \right].$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \beta k) + \alpha(1 - k)] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^{\delta} a_k < 1 - \beta.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \beta)} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^{\delta} a_k < 1,$$

and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right)^{\delta} z^k, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (7)$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z)$ where $\eta_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1$. In particular, the extreme points of $\mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the functions defined by (7).

Proof. Let f be expressed as in the above theorem. This means that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z) = \eta_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z) \\ &= \eta_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right)^{\delta} \eta_k z^k \\ &= z \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_k = \frac{(1-\beta)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right)^{\delta} \eta_k$. Therefore $f \in \mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$ since

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \beta} t_k \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right)^{\delta} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1 - \eta_1 < 1.$$

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then by (6), we have

$$a_k < \frac{1 - \beta}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right)^{\delta}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \beta}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]} \left(\frac{c + k}{c + 1}\right)^{\delta} \eta_k z^k \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k (z - f_k(z)) \\
 &= \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k\right) z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z) \\
 &= \eta_1 z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

3. NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTY

In this section we study neighborhood property for functions in the class $\mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$. This concept was introduced by Goodman [2] and Ruscheweyh [6]. See also [1], [4], [5], and [7].

Definition 3.1. For functions f belong f to \mathcal{S} of the form (1) and $\gamma \geq 0$, we define $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of f by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}^{\eta}(f) = \{g(z) \in \mathcal{S} : g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k| \leq \gamma\},$$

where η is a fixed positive integer.

By using the following lemmas we will investigate the $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of functions in $\mathcal{TS}_c^{\delta}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $m \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \theta < 1$. If $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} |b_k| \leq \frac{1 - \theta}{1 + \alpha},$$

then $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^{\rho}(\alpha, \theta)$.

Proof. By using of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \theta)}{1 - \theta} \left(\frac{\rho + 1}{\rho + k}\right)^{\delta} = \frac{k^{\rho+1}}{1 - \theta} (1 + \alpha).$$

But

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \theta)}{1 - \theta} \left(\frac{\rho + 1}{\rho + k}\right)^{\delta} \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \theta} \left(\frac{\rho + 1}{\rho + k}\right)^{\delta}.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$Q(k, \rho) = \frac{\left(\frac{\rho+1}{\rho+k}\right)^\delta}{k^{\rho+1}} \leq 1.$$

The result follows because the last inequality holds for all $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{T}$, $-1 \leq \beta < 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$. If $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in \mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ then*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} a_k \leq \frac{2^{\rho+1}(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\alpha-2\beta)} \left(\frac{c+2}{c+1}\right)^\delta$$

where either $\rho = 0$ and $c \geq 0$ or $\rho = 1$ and $1 \leq c \leq 2$. The result is sharp with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\alpha-2\beta)} \left(\frac{c+2}{c+1}\right)^\delta z^2, \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

Proof. Letting $g(z) = \frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon}$ we have

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1+\epsilon} z^k, \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

In view of theorem 2.3, $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k g_k(z)$ where $\eta_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1$,

$$g_1(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad g_k(z) = z - \frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right)^\delta z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \eta_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \left[z - \frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right)^\delta z^k \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \left(\frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right)^\delta \right) z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} a_k \leq \sup_{k \geq 2} k^{\rho+1} \left[\frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right)^\delta \right].$$

Since whenever $\rho = 0$ and $c \geq 0$ or $\rho = 1$ and $1 \leq c \leq 2$ we conclude

$$W(k, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \epsilon, c, \delta) = k^{\rho+1} \left[\frac{(1-\beta)(1+\epsilon)}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right)^\delta \right],$$

is a decreasing function of k , the result will follow. So the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4. *Let either $\rho = 0$ and $c \geq 0$ or $\rho = 1$ and $1 \leq c \leq 2$. Suppose $-1 \leq \beta < 1$, and*

$$-1 \leq \theta < \frac{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta - 2^{\rho+1}(1 - \beta)(1 + \epsilon)(c + 2)^\delta(1 + \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta(1 + \alpha)},$$

$f(z) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in \mathcal{TS}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. Then the $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of f is the subset of $\mathcal{S}_c^\eta(\alpha, \theta)$, where

$$\gamma = \frac{(1 - \theta)(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta - 2^{\eta+1}(1 - \beta)(1 + \epsilon)(c + 2)^\delta(1 + \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta(1 + \alpha)}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. For $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|z^k$, let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be in $\mathcal{N}_\gamma^\eta(f)$. So by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |b_k| &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k - a_k| \\ &\leq \gamma + \frac{2^{\eta+1}(1 - \beta)(1 + \epsilon)}{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)} \left(\frac{c + 2}{c + 1} \right)^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.2, $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^\eta(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$\gamma + \frac{2^{\eta+1}(1 - \beta)(1 + \epsilon)}{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)} \left(\frac{c + 2}{c + 1} \right)^\delta \leq \frac{1 - \theta}{1 + \alpha}.$$

That is,

$$\gamma \leq \frac{(1 - \theta)(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta - 2^{\eta+1}(1 - \beta)(1 + \epsilon)(c + 2)^\delta(1 + \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha - 2\beta)(c + 1)^\delta(1 + \alpha)}$$

and the proof is complete.

4. PARTIAL SUMS

In this section we verify some properties of partial sums of functions in the class $\mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. (see [5] and [8])

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$, and define the partial sums $f_1(z)$ and $f_n(z)$ by*

$$f_1(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathcal{N}, \quad n > 1). \quad (8)$$

If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1 \quad (9)$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{c + 1}{c + k} \right)^\delta. \quad (10)$$

Then $f_k(z) \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. Moreover

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}, n \in \mathcal{N}) \quad (11)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. It is easy to show that $f_1(z) = z \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. So by Theorem 3.3, and condition (9), we have $\mathcal{N}_1^\eta(z) \subset \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$, so $f_k \in \mathcal{S}_c^\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. Next, for the coefficient c_k it is easy to show that

$$c_{k+1} > c_k > 1.$$

Therefore by using (9) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

By putting

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(z) &= c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 + c_{n+1} \left(\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + c_{n+1} \left(\frac{z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k}{z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k} - 1 \right) = 1 + c_{n+1} \left(\frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}} - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + c_{n+1} \left[\frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} - 1 - \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}} \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (13), for all $z \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{h_1(z) - 1}{h_1(z) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}}{2 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}} \right| \leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1,$$

which proves (11). Similarly, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(z) &= \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1+c_{n+1}} \right\} (1+c_{n+1}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1+c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (13) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{h_2(z) - 1}{h_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1, \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}),$$

which yields the condition (12). So the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Altintas and S. Owa, *Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 797-800.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions with analytic curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 598-601.
- [3] Y. Komato, *On analytic prolongation of a family of operators*, Mathematica (Cluj). **39** (55) (1990), 141-145.
- [4] Shahram Najafzadeh and S. R. Kulkarni, *Study of certain properties of univalent functions based on Ruscheweyh derivative*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **32** (2008), 478-488.
- [5] Thomas Rosy, K. G. Subramanian and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Neighborhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivative*, J. Ineq. pure and appl. Math. **4** (4) (2003), art. 64.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (4) (1981), 521-527.
- [7] H. Silverman, *Neighborhoods of classes of analytic functions*, Fareast. J. Math. Sci. **3** (2) (1995), 165-169.
- [8] H. Silverman, *Partial sums of starlike and convex functions*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **209** (1997), 221-227.

Shahram Najafzadeh
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Maragheh
 Maragheh, Iran
 email:najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie

Ali Ebadian
Department of Mathematics
Urmia University
Faculty of Science
Urmia, Iran
email:*a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir*